

Case No.: CDP-0902 The Villages at Timothy Branch  
VD-0902

Applicant: Timothy Brandywine Investments One, LLC  
Timothy Brandywine Investments Two, LLC

COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND,  
SITTING AS THE DISTRICT COUNCIL

ORDER AFFIRMING PLANNING BOARD DECISION,  
WITH CONDITIONS

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, after review of the administrative record, that the Planning Board's decision in Resolution PGCPB No. 10-110, approving with conditions 1,069 dwelling units in the R-M-zoned portion of The Villages at Timothy Branch development distributed as follows: 101 single-family detached units, 80 one-family semidetached (duplex) units, 368 one-family attached (townhouse) units, 312 two-family attached (two-over-two) units, and 208 multifamily units, with a variance from the maximum percentage of townhouses and multifamily dwelling units in a comprehensive design zone, on property described as 262 acres of land in the R-M Zone located on the eastern side of Robert Crain Highway (US 301), southeast of its intersection with Shortcut Road and Branch Avenue (MD 5), is:

AFFIRMED, as the basis for this action, the District Council adopts the findings and conclusions stated by the Planning Board in its Resolution, PGCPB No. 10-110, as its findings and conclusions in this case.

Affirmance of the Planning Board's decision is subject to the following conditions:

1. All conditions of approval of Basic Plan A-9987 shall remain in full force and effect.
2. The total areas within the L-A-C zone (CDP-0901) and the R-M zone (CDP-0902) comprise a combined total trip cap of 1,269 trips in the AM and 1,775 trips in the PM. If the densities of the L-A-C zone or the R-M zone are modified for any reason, trips may be re-allocated between these two zones (CDP-0901 & CDP-0902) such that the overall trip cap of 1,269 AM and 1,775 PM trips is not exceeded.
3. A minimum 50-foot building restriction line (BRL) as measured from the ultimate right-of-way of Mattawoman Drive shall be provided on the Specific Design Plan (SDP) unless it is determined that a lesser BRL provides sufficient area to adequately buffer the dwellings from the roadway.

4. A minimum 200-foot building restriction line (BRL) as measured from the ultimate right-of-way of US 301 shall be provided on the specific design plan (SDP) for multifamily buildings unless it is deemed that a lesser BRL provides sufficient area to adequately buffer the dwellings from the roadway. The minimum width of building restriction lines for other residential product types along US 301 shall be determined at the time of SDP and the Phase II Noise Study shall be considered in the determination of establishing the building restriction lines.
5. Prior to certificate of approval of the subject comprehensive design plan:
  - a. Show the proposed transit alignment and include the following label: "Possible Future Transit alignment (subject to further future environmental review)."
  - b. Indicate a potential access connection between the existing warehouse/distribution facility on Mattawoman Drive (A-63) and Short Cut Road as an alternative for heavy truck traffic.
  - c. Revise the development standard chart in the text and on the plan as follows:

The following standards shall apply to the development. (Modifications to the standards may be permitted on a lot-by-lot basis by the Planning Board at the time of specific design plan if circumstances warrant.)

#### **RESIDENTIAL USES—R-M ZONE<sup>1</sup>**

	One-family detached	Two-family attached	Single-family semidetached <sup>8,9</sup>	Single-family attached <sup>3,8,9</sup>	Multifamily
Minimum Net Lot Area	6,000 sq.ft.	N/A	3,600 sq. ft.	1,800 sq. ft.	N/A
Minimum frontage at street R.O.W	60	N/A	36 feet	20 feet	N/A
Minimum frontage at Front B.R.L.	60	N/A	36 feet	20 feet	N/A
Minimum frontage – corner lot	70	N/A	40 feet	30 feet	N/A
Maximum Lot Coverage (%)	30	35 <sup>4</sup>	35	35 <sup>4</sup>	50 <sup>4</sup>
Minimum building setback from Mattawoman Drive	50 feet	50 feet	50 feet	50 feet	50 feet
Minimum building setback from Robert Crain Highway (US 301)	TBD <sup>10</sup>	TBD <sup>10</sup>	TBD <sup>10</sup>	TBD <sup>10</sup>	200 feet <sup>10</sup>
Minimum front setback <sup>5</sup>	25	N/A	20 feet	3, 6	7
Minimum side setback <sup>5</sup>	10	N/A	10 feet	6	7
Minimum rear setback <sup>5</sup>	20	N/A	20 feet	6	7
Minimum side setback to street <sup>5</sup>	25	N/A	20 feet	6	7
Maximum residential building height <sup>11</sup>	40	55 feet	45 feet	45 feet	80 feet
Maximum percentage of total units	N/A	N/A	N/A	50 <sup>2</sup>	25 <sup>2</sup>
Minimum frontage on cul-de-sac	40	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>1</sup> All parking is governed by Part 11 of the Zoning Ordinance.

- <sup>2</sup> Variance requested from the maximum townhouse and multifamily dwelling unit percentage, which allows a maximum 30 and 10 percent respectively of units in the R-M Zone.
- <sup>3</sup> Applies to both front and rear loaded garage townhouses. Rear-load garage townhomes shall have a minimum 25-foot front yard setback in order to reduce the length of the driveway.
- <sup>4</sup> This percentage is for building coverage (and not for lot coverage) of the overall net tract area
- <sup>5</sup> Stoops and/or steps may encroach into yard area.
- <sup>6</sup> Minimum yard area of 800 square feet to be allocated for front, side, or rear yard. May be reduced to 500 square feet for providing stoops, steps, and terraces which may project into yard area. Decks may project into rear yards only.
- <sup>7</sup> For multifamily buildings, the minimum building setback along a street shall be 25 feet, except for Mattawoman Drive, which requires a 50-foot setback unless it is deemed that a lesser BRL provides sufficient area to adequately buffer the units.
- <sup>8</sup> Fences and retaining walls up to six feet high may be constructed anywhere in a rear yard without meeting setback requirements.
- <sup>9</sup> On lots consisting of one acre or less, fences in the front yard shall not be more than four feet high.
- <sup>10</sup> The minimum building setback for one-family detached, two-family detached, single-family semidetached, single-family attached and multifamily from Robert Crain Highway (US 301) shall be determined at the time of SDP review.
- <sup>11</sup> These height limits may be increased if a variance and/or modification is granted by the Planning Board at the time of SDP.

#### **ACCESSORY BUILDINGS—R-M ZONE**

Maximum Lot Coverage (%)	25
Minimum setback from front street line	60 feet
Minimum setback from side lot line	2 feet
Minimum setback from rear lot line	2 feet
Corner lot - Minimum setback from side street line (along which an abutting lot fronts)	10 feet
Corner lot - Minimum setback from side street line (along which an abutting lot does not front)	7 feet
Maximum building height above grade	15 feet

Note: No accessory building shall be located closer to the street line than the main building.

- d. A note shall be added to the plans and the comprehensive design plan document shall be revised to include a note stating that the requirements of Section 4.7 of the *Prince George's County Landscape Manual* shall be used as a starting point or minimum for the provision of an adequate separation between incompatible uses, at the perimeter of the site. The requirement may be increased as necessary so as to ensure compatibility between incompatible uses at the time of approval of the specific design plan.
- e. The following Architectural Design Parameters shall apply and be revised in the CDP text:
  - (1) A minimum of 60 percent of all townhouse units shall have a full front façade (excluding gables, bay windows, trim, and doors) and all highly-visible endwalls, which shall be identified at the time of SDP, shall be brick, stone or stucco, or other masonry materials of equivalent quality.
  - (2) Townhouses and single-family semidetached dwellings facing a public street and the side elevation of the same unit facing a public street (corner lots) shall be faced up to 60 percent with high-quality materials such as brick, stone or stucco (excluding gables, bay windows, trim, and doors) or other masonry materials of equivalent quality.
  - (3) All residential buildings with front elevations facing Mattawoman Drive shall have a full front façade of brick, stone or stucco (excluding gables, windows, doors, and trim), or other masonry materials of equivalent quality as long as the buildings are within 100 feet of the Mattawoman Drive right-of-way.
  - (4) Front elevations of townhouses and two-family attached units facing Mattawoman Drive shall have dormers or gables to reduce the single plane of roof.
  - (5) Front elevations of townhouse and two-family attached units facing Mattawoman Drive shall be offset by a minimum of two feet.
  - (6) Architecture for multifamily buildings shall be faced with at least 60 percent brick, stone, stucco or equivalent, or other masonry materials of equivalent quality. Elevations of multifamily buildings facing Mattawoman Drive and those that are determined at SDP to have highly visible corner facades shall be faced with a minimum of 80 percent brick, stone or stucco (excluding gables, bay windows, trim, and doors), or other masonry materials of equivalent quality.

- (7) A minimum of 60 percent of one-family detached dwellings shall have a full front façade (excluding gables, bay windows, trim, and doors) of brick, stone, or stucco, or other masonry materials of equivalent quality.
  - (8) Side and rear walls of all residential buildings shall be articulated with windows, recesses, chimneys, or other architectural treatments. All residential endwalls shall have a minimum of two architectural features, except endwalls in highly visible locations, which shall be identified at the time of SDP, shall have additional architectural features creating a well-balanced composition.
  - (9) Trash enclosures made of high-quality building materials shall be used to screen trash dumpsters.
6. Prior to certificate approval of the comprehensive design plan, the TCP1 shall be revised as follows:
- a. Show the provision of the total of the woodland conservation threshold for the site plus the portion of the replacement required for clearing below the threshold, as woodland conservation on-site, and add a note indicating that this standard shall be maintained on all future tree conservation plans.
  - b. Provide a ten-foot-wide clear access zone on the sides and to the rear yards of all townhouses and multifamily units. This clear zone should be free of woodland conservation areas or noise mitigation measures that would block access.
  - c. Provide the minimum required widths and areas for preservation and afforestation areas.
  - d. Meet the requirements of the Environmental Technical Manual with regard to standard notes.
  - e. Revise the specimen tree table to add a note stating the method of specimen tree location (field or survey located).
  - f. Eliminate woodland conservation from proposed ultimate rights-of-way and easements.
  - g. Eliminate woodland conservation credits from the areas within the trail and the associated clear areas on each side.
  - h. Revise the approval blocks on all sheets to reflect correct plan numbering nomenclature.
  - i. Revise the woodland conservation worksheet to reflect all of the revisions included above.

- j. Have the revised TCP1 signed and dated by the qualified professional who prepared it.
- 7. Prior to the approval of a specific design plan, a site development plan for stormwater management that details how the new stormwater management requirements will be met regarding the provision of environmental site design techniques, to the fullest extent practicable, will be required unless other stormwater management design approvals and/or waivers are granted by DPW&T.
- 8. The TCP2 for the subject property shall demonstrate that the requirements of the Woodland and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Ordinance are provided on-site through preservation or afforestation to the fullest extent possible, consistent with the desired pattern of development and densities indicated in the General Plan. If off-site mitigation is required, it shall be provided within the Mattawoman watershed.
- 9. Prior to certificate approval of the CDP, the TCP1 shall be revised to conform to the ultimate right-of-ways for the CDP as determined by the Transportation Planning Section based on the Subregion 5 Master Plan. All conditions associated with the rights-of-way assume the ultimate rights-of-way as approved on the CDP.
- 10. At the time of preliminary plan review, an evaluation of all impacts to the primary management area shall be made. A revised Letter of Justification shall provide for impacts remaining at time of preliminary plan review, at which time further revisions necessary to minimize impacts shall be determined.
- 11. If, revisions to the CDP plan increase the cumulative PMA impacts on the site for a total of 200 or more linear feet of stream beds or one-half acre of wetlands and their buffers, additional required mitigation shall be identified at time of preliminary plan review.
- 12. Prior to acceptance of an SDP a plan and proposal for the type, location, and timing of any required PMA mitigation, associated with the SDP, shall be submitted.
- 13. A variance for the removal of Specimen Tree No. 3 shall be applied for and approved with the appropriate SDP application and associated TCP2.
- 14. Prior to approval of TCP2 which proposes to credit as woodland conservation planting occurring with a stormwater management easement, an approved Site Development Stormwater Management Plan shall be submitted to the Planning Department which indicates that the planting areas proposed have been approved by the Department of Public Works and Transportation with regard to the location, size, and plant stocking proposed. No afforestation or preservation area can be shown within 15 feet of the toe of the embankment, or as determined by the Department of Public Works and Transportation or the Soil Conservation District.
- 15. Prior to certification approval of the CDP, provide a tree canopy coverage (TCC) requirement schedule on the TCP1 indicating how the TCC requirement has been fulfilled.

16. All future SDPs and associated TCP2 shall include a tree canopy coverage (TCC) schedule indicating how the TCC requirements have been fulfilled for the subject application.
17. At time of specific design plan application for residential units in the R-M zone, a Phase II noise study shall be submitted for review. The Phase II Noise Study shall address how noise impacts to the residential units will be mitigated to provide interior noise levels of 45 dBA Ldn or less and exterior noise levels of 65 dBA Ldn or less within outdoor activity areas based on the final site design. The approval of architecture at time of SDP shall also demonstrate how the proposed structures are in conformance with the noise mitigation measures recommend in the Phase II noise report for interior residential uses.
18. Applications for building permits for residential uses within the 65 dBA Ldn noise contour shall contain a certification, to be submitted to M-NCPPC, prepared by a professional engineer with competency in acoustical analysis using the certification template. The certification shall state that the interior noise levels have been reduced through the proposed building materials to 45 dBA Ldn or less.
19. All SDPs for the subject property shall demonstrate the use of full cut-off optics to ensure that off-site light intrusion into residential and environmentally-sensitive areas is minimized. At time of SDP, details of all lighting fixtures shall be submitted for review along with certification that the proposed fixtures are full cut-off optics and a photometric plan showing proposed light levels. The following note shall be placed on all future SDPs:  
  
“All lighting shall use full cut-off optics and be directed downward to reduce glare and light spill-over.”
20. The applicant and the applicant’s heirs, his successors, and/or assignees shall provide off-site public recreational facilities at the Brandywine Area Community Park in accordance with the standards outlined in the *Park and Recreation Facilities Guidelines*.
21. Prior to the issuance of 50 percent of the residential building permits within CDP-0901 and CDP-0902, the applicant shall construct Phase 1 recreational facilities at the Brandywine Area Community Park as conceptually shown on Exhibit B, which includes a softball and soccer field, a 65-space parking lot, and an access road from Missouri Avenue.
22. Prior to the issuance of 20 percent of the residential building permits within CDP-0901 and CDP-0902, including single-family and multifamily units, the applicant shall provide to the Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR), for review and approval, construction drawings and specifications for the construction of the Phase 1 recreational facilities and related stormwater management facilities for the Brandywine Area Community Park.
23. The applicant shall be responsible for any costs associated with the environmental, archeological and/or geotechnical studies, and permit fees associated with the design and construction of the Phase 1 recreational facilities in the Brandywine Area Community Park.
24. The applicant shall construct any stormwater management facilities on parkland needed for Phase 1 recreational facilities in the Brandywine Area Community Park.

25. The applicant shall be responsible for woodland conservation requirements for the construction of Phase 1 recreational facilities in the Brandywine Area Community Park and it shall be provided on-site and/or off-site on parkland owned by MNCPPC.
26. The applicant shall submit three original executed public recreational facilities agreements (RFA) for the construction of Phase 1 recreational facilities in the Brandywine Area Community Park to the Department of Parks and Recreation for their approval three weeks prior to the submission of a final plat. Upon approval by the Department of Parks and Recreation, the RFA shall be recorded among the land records of Prince George's County, Upper Marlboro, Maryland.
27. Submission to DPR of a performance bond, letter of credit, or other suitable financial guarantees for the construction of Phase 1 recreational facilities in the Brandywine Area Community Park, in an amount to be determined by DPR, shall be required at least two weeks prior to applying for building permits.
28. The applicant and the applicant's heirs, successors, and/or assignees shall provide adequate, private recreational facilities on-site in accordance with the standards outlined in the *Park and Recreation Facilities Guidelines*.
29. The private recreational facilities shall be reviewed by the Urban Design Section of the Development Review Division (DRD), M-NCPPC for adequacy, conformance to the *Park and Recreation Facilities Guidelines* and appropriateness of location during the specific design plan review.
30. The applicant shall submit three original executed private recreational facilities agreements (RFA) for the private recreational facilities on-site to DRD for their approval three weeks prior to submission of a final plat. Upon approval by DRD, the RFA shall be recorded among the land records of Prince George's County, Upper Marlboro, Maryland.
31. Include the following phasing for the on-site private recreational facilities within the CDP text and plan:



CDP-0902 - PHASING OF AMENITIES		
FACILITY	BOND	FINISH CONSTRUCTION
7,500 sq. ft. multiage – RM1	Prior to the issuance of any residential unit permit	Complete by 200th overall* residential unit permit
7,500 sq. ft. multiage – RM3	Prior to the issuance of any residential unit permit within RM3	Complete by 450th overall residential unit permit
20,000 sq. ft. Open play area – RM4	Prior to the issuance of any residential unit permit within RM4	Complete by 600th overall residential unit permit
Min. 4,200 square-foot Community building and 25 meter swimming pool – RM2	Prior to the issuance of 500th overall* residential unit permit	Complete by 750th overall residential unit permit
2,500 sq. ft. tot-lot – RM2	Prior to the issuance of 500th overall residential unit permit	Complete by 750th overall residential unit permit
5,000 sq. ft. per teen – RM2	Prior to the issuance of 500th overall residential unit permit	Complete by 750th overall residential unit permit
7,500 sq. ft. multiage – RM5	Prior to the issuance of any residential unit permit with RM5	Complete by 1,000th overall residential unit permit
Timothy Branch Stream Valley Trail <sup>1</sup> (approx. 5,600 L.F.) or other recreational trail	Prior to the issuance of any residential unit permit for the adjacent pod	Complete with adjacent pod development

It is occasionally necessary to adjust the precise timing of the construction of recreational facilities as more details concerning grading and construction details become available. Phasing of the recreational facilities may be adjusted by written permission of the Planning Board or its designee under certain circumstances, such as the need to modify construction sequence due to exact location of sediment ponds or utilities, or other engineering necessary. The number of permits allowed to be released prior to construction of any given facility shall not be increased by more than 25 percent, and an adequate number of permits shall be withheld to assure completion of all of the facilities prior to completion of all the dwelling units.

\* “Overall” means CDP-0901 (LAC Zone) and CDP-0902 (RM Zone)

1 Unless the District Council amends the Basic Plan condition requiring the same

32. Submission to DRD of a performance bond, letter of credit, or other suitable financial guarantee for the construction of private recreational facilities, in an amount to be determined by DRD, shall be required at least two weeks prior to applying for building permits, unless stated otherwise in Condition 31.
33. The developer and his heirs, successors, and/or assignees shall satisfy the Planning Board that there are adequate provisions to assure retention and future maintenance of the proposed private recreational facilities.
34. Provide an eight-foot-wide, concrete hiker/biker trail on the east side of Mattawoman Drive (A-63) along the subject site’s entire frontage between Brandywine Road and the southern property line in accordance with DPW&T standards for a concrete hiker/biker trail within an urban right-of-way (DPW&T Standard 100.18). The hiker/biker trail shall

be connected to the Timothy Branch trail, if required, via an alternate configuration (DPW&T Standard 100.06) to accommodate two five-foot-wide bike lanes within the travel lanes of the primary street located between the commercial and residential development, with directional signage to the Timothy Branch trail. A five-foot-wide sidewalk shall also be provided on the west side of Mattawoman Drive. All hiker/biker trail locations, materials, signs, and other details shall be shown on the applicable specific design plan. Both the hiker/biker trail and the sidewalk shall be provided within the public right-of-way.

35. At the time of SDP, the plans shall identify the location of median refuge islands along the entire length of Mattawoman Drive per DPW&T standards and with AASHTO guidance. The exact locations and details and specifications will be determined at the time of SDP.
36. Provide four-foot-wide sidewalks along both sides of all internal residential roads (excluding alleys).
37. Indicate on the specific design plan the width of all of the on-road and off-road bikeways, sidewalks, and trails.
38. At the time of specific design plan review, provide cross section details of the proposed sidewalks, on-road bike lanes, shared-use roads, and trails per SHA and DPW&T standards where applicable.
39. Trails shall be shown no less than 20 feet from all private residential lot lines and/or 25 feet from all residential dwellings, excluding where trails connect with the internal road network, unless environmental constraints/impacts exist that make this impractical. The final trail location shall be reviewed at the time of SDP.
40. Provide a master plan hiker/biker/equestrian trail (the Timothy Branch trail) along the subject site's entire segment of the Timothy Branch stream valley, unless the District Council amends the Basic Plan condition requiring the same.
41. Any trail connectors on homeowners' association land to the Timothy Branch trail, if required, shall be six feet wide and asphalt.
42. Provide details of the way finding and trail signage in accordance with AASHTO guidance at the time of specific design plan review including the location of signage. This signage can be tailored to the development and provide way finding to the commercial areas or nearby destinations. At a minimum, way-finding signage should indicate the direction of the Brandywine Area Community Park to the north of the subject site and the Rose Creek Connector trail to the south of the site.
43. Show bicycle parking spaces on the specific design plan at the recreational facilities and in the community buildings. These spaces should be located near the front entrances to the buildings and have access to bikeway and trail facilities.
44. At the time of preliminary plan approval, the plan shall reflect the following rights-of-way:

- a. A 120-foot right-of-way along A-63, Mattawoman Drive, from north to south through the subject property.
  - b. Prior to certificate approval, revise the CDP to remove the “Alternative Alignment of I-503” and show only that area of the subject property needed to accommodate a future industrial road connection as a separate parcel or outlot.
45. The applicant and/or the applicant’s heirs, successors, or assignees shall provide the following transportation improvements as proffered in the July 2009 traffic impact study.
- a. A third northbound through land along US 301 through the MD 381 and the Mattawoman Drive intersections, beginning approximately 1,000 feet south of MD 381 and continuing approximately 2,500 feet north of MD 381. The elimination of left turns at the US 301/MD 381 intersection coincident with the construction of a northbound left-turn lane along US 301 at Mattawoman Drive shall be constructed by the applicant if required by SHA.
  - b. A northbound left-turn land along US 301 at Mattawoman Drive, subject to SHA approval.
  - c. The signalization of the MD 381/Mattawoman Drive intersection, along with the addition of a westbound left-turn lane along MD 381 at Mattawoman Drive.
  - d. The extension of Mattawoman Drive south of the subject property to connect to Matapeake Business Drive.
46. The applicant and/or the applicant’s heirs, successors, or assignees shall contribute toward and participate in the construction of certain additional off-site transportation improvements as identified hereinafter. These improvements shall be funded and constructed through the formation of a road club that will include the applicant, the Montgomery Wards Brandywine Distribution Center, the Brandywine Commerce Center, the Mattawoman-Brandywine Commerce Center, the Brandywine Business Park, the Brandywine/301 Industrial Park, the Hampton CDZ, and other property owners in the area designated as Employment Area “C” in the Subregion V Master Plan, as well as any properties along US 301/MD 5 between T.B. (the intersection of US 301 and MD 5 in Prince George’s County) and Mattawoman Creek, and any other properties for which participation is deemed necessary by the Planning Board. For development on the subject property, the applicant’s sole funding responsibility toward the construction of these off-site transportation improvements shall be the payment of the following:
- For commercial buildings, a fee calculated as \$1.41 per gross square foot of space X (Engineering News-Record Highway Construction Cost index at time of payment) / (Engineering News-Record Highway Construction Cost Index for first quarter, 1993).
- For each single-family detached unit, a fee calculated as \$1,306 x (Engineering News-Record Highway Construction Cost Index at time of payment) / (Engineering News-Record Highway Construction Cost Index for first quarter, 1993).

For each townhouse, duplex, two over two unit, a fee calculated as \$1,187 x (Engineering News-Record Highway Construction Cost Index at time of payment) / (Engineering News-Record Highway Construction Cost Index for first quarter, 1993).

For each multi-family unit, a fee calculated as \$886 x (Engineering News-Record Highway Construction Cost Index at time of payment) / (Engineering News-Record Highway Construction Cost Index for first quarter, 1993).

Payment is to be made in trust to the road club escrow agent and shall be due, on a pro rata basis, at the time of issuance of building permits. Prior to issuance of any building permit(s), the applicant shall provide written evidence to M-NCPPC that the required payment has been made.

The off-site transportation improvements to be constructed are set forth below. Construction of these improvements shall occur in the numerical sequence in which they appear. Each improvement shall be constructed if and only if sufficient funds for engineering, full design, and construction have been deposited into the road club escrow account by road club members or said funds have been provided by public agencies. The off-site transportation improvements shall include:

- a. Widen US 301/MD 5 from a four-lane road to a six-lane road beginning at Timothy Branch (north of Cedarville Road) and extending northerly to the US 301/MD 5 interchange (at T.B.). The construction shall be in accordance with presently approved SHA plans.
- b. Install a traffic signal at the A-63/Cedarville Road intersection, provided said signal is deemed warranted by DPW&T.
- c. Make minor widening/striping improvements to the US 301/MD 5 interchange ramps.
- d. Widen US 301 from a four-lane road to a six-lane road beginning at the T.B. interchange (US 301/MD 5) and extending northerly to a point approximately 2,500 feet north of MD 381.
- e. Reconstruct the traffic signal at US 301/MD 381.
- f. Install a traffic signal at the MD 381/A-63 intersection, provided said signal is deemed warranted by DPW&T and SHA.
- g. Provide a grade separation at the point the spine road crosses US 301 northeast of T.B.
- h. Reconstruct the traffic signal at MD 5/Brandywine Road.
- i. Construction of an interchange in the area of US 301/MD 5 and Cedarville/McKendree Roads.
- j. Construction of an interchange in the area of MD 5 and A-63 north of T.B.

- k. Construction of A-63 as a six-lane arterial roadway (where off site) between the US 301/MD 5/Cedarville Rd./McKendree Rd. intersection and MD 5 north of T.B.
  - l. Widen US 301/MD 5 from a six-lane road to an eight-lane road beginning at the T.B. interchange (US 301/MD 5) and extending southerly to Mattawoman Creek.
  - m. Widen MD 5 from a four-lane road to a six-lane road beginning at the T.B. interchange (US 301/MD 5) and extending northerly to a point approximately 2,500 feet north of the planned intersection with A-63.
- 47. The R-M portion of the CDP shall be modified to indicate that the portion of A-63 between the more southerly traffic circle and the southern property line shall be labeled as A-63, and shall make provision for a 120-foot right-of-way.
  - 48. At the time of SDP review, the applicant may redesign Residential Module 3 to reduce the block perimeter and to increase the pedestrian and vehicular circulation. The housing types within and around these blocks should be reconsidered to facilitate rear loading townhouses.
  - 49. At the time of SDP review, the applicant may redesign Residential Module 5 to reconfigure the multifamily units to provide a central recreation or open space.
  - 50. At the time of SDP, the required phasing plan for the construction of the extension of Mattawoman Drive to Matapeake Business Drive prescribed in Condition 45(d) shall be determined, but the construction of this extension must be completed concurrent with or prior to completion of half of all of the residential units included in CDP-0901 and CDP-0902 (i.e., by the 600<sup>th</sup> residential building permit).

Ordered this 4<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2013, by the following vote:

In Favor: Council Members Campos, Davis, Franklin, Harrison, Lehman, Patterson, Toles and Turner.

Opposed:

Abstained:

Absent: Council Member Olson

Vote: 8-0

COUNTY COUNCIL OF PRINCE GEORGE'S  
COUNTY, MARYLAND, SITTING AS THE  
DISTRICT COUNCIL FOR THAT PART OF  
THE MARYLAND-WASHINGTON REGIONAL  
DISTRICT IN PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY,  
MARYLAND

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Andrea C. Harrison, Chair

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Redis C. Floyd  
Clerk of the Council