PGCPB No. 01-79(A) File No. 4-00064

AMENDED RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Transnational Law and Business University Foundation is the owner of a 342.5-acre parcel of land known as Parcels 91, 129, 130, 141, 142, 143 and 214, Tax Map 117, Grid E-2, said property being in the 11th Election District of Prince George's County, Maryland, and being zoned R-S; and

WHEREAS, on November 14, 2000, Transnational Law and Business University filed an application for approval of a Preliminary Subdivision Plan (Staff Exhibit #1) for 1 parcel and 1 outparcel; and

WHEREAS, the application for approval of the aforesaid Preliminary Subdivision Plan, also known as Preliminary Plan 4-00064, was presented to the Prince George's County Planning Board of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission by the staff of the Commission on April 5, 2001, for its review and action in accordance with Article 28, Section 7-116, Annotated Code of Maryland and the Regulations for the Subdivision of Land, Subtitle 24, Prince George's County Code; and

WHEREAS, the staff of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission recommended APPROVAL of the application with conditions; and

WHEREAS, on April 12, 2001, the Prince George's County Planning Board heard testimony and received evidence submitted for the record on the aforesaid application.

*WHEREAS, the Planning Board approved this preliminary plan on April 12, 2001. The resolution (PGCPB 01-79) was originally adopted on May 17, 2001. A request for reconsideration was filed by Norman Rivera, attorney for the applicant, and the Planning Board granted reconsideration of request on June 21, 2001. The reconsideration was limited to Conditions 9, 10, 11, and 18 of the original approval.

*WHEREAS, the Planning Board granted the reconsideration on the basis of mistake and other good cause, finding that the restrictions limiting the school to 140 students was particularly onerous given the scope of the proposal.

*WHEREAS, the Planning Board further found that there were internal inconsistences in the conditions, in one case limiting enrollment while requiring substantial road improvements required for full enrollment. This, in the Planning Board=s judgement, is a mistake that warrants reconsideration.

*WHEREAS, the Planning Board heard testimony from staff, the applicant, and citizens at a

hearing on September 27, 2001, regarding the reconsidered conditions.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that pursuant to the provisions of Subtitle 24, Prince George's County Code, the Prince George's County Planning Board APPROVED the Type I Tree Conservation Plan (TCPI/110/90-01), and variations to Section 24-130 of the Subdivision Regulations, and further APPROVED Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-00064, Transnational Law and Business University for Outparcel A and Parcel 1 with the following conditions:

1. At time of final Plan, a Conservation Easement shall be described by bearings and distances. The conservation easement shall contain all 100-year floodplain, stream buffers, wetlands and wetland buffers except for approved variation requests, and be reviewed by the Environmental Planning Section prior to approval of the final Plan. The following note shall be placed on the Plan:

"Conservation Easements described on this Plan are areas where the installation of structures and roads and the removal of vegetation is prohibited without prior written consent from the M-NCPPC Planning Director or designee. The removal of hazardous trees, limbs, branches, or trunks is allowed."

- 2. Prior to the issuance of any permit which impacts floodplain, streams, stream buffers, wetlands, or wetland buffers, the applicant shall obtain all necessary Federal and State permits. Copies of the approved permits shall be filed with the Environmental Planning Section.
- 3. Prior to the issuance of any grading permit, the applicant shall provide the Environmental Planning Section a finding of no significant impact by the Maryland Department of Natural Resources with regard to the State endangered herbaceous plant, Few-flowered Tick-trefoil (

 Desmodium pauciflorum).
- 4. The final Plan shall contain the following note:

APrior to the issuance of building permits for residential units on this site, the applicant shall provide certification by a professional engineer with competency in acoustical analysis that the building shells of residential units within the 65 dBA of the Air Installation Compatible Use Zone (AICUZ) have been designed to attenuate noise levels to 45 dBA(Ldn) or less.@

- 5. Prior to signature approval of the preliminary Plan, the Tree Conservation Plan, TCP I/110/90-01 shall be revised to:
 - a. Revise the Woodland Conservation Worksheet to indicate 0 (zero) acres of Previously Dedicated Land, 263.76 acres for the Net Tract, 52.75 acres for the Woodland Conservation Threshold, ATo be determined@ for clearing within the floodplain, 55.12 acres for Woodland Conservation Required, 55.12 acres for Woodland Conservation Provided, and add a note: AAdditional clearing may be required which increases the amount of Woodland Conservation Required. All Woodland Conservation will be met by on-site preservation.@
 - b. Revise the plan to indicate with shading, stippling, or any other graphic method on-site woodland conservation areas totally no less than 55.12 acres.
- 6. Development of this subdivision shall be in compliance with the approved Type I Tree Conservation Plan (TCP I/36/00). The following note shall be placed on the Final Plan of Subdivision:

"Development is subject to restrictions shown on the approved Type I Tree Conservation Plan (TCP I/36/00), or as modified by the Type II Tree Conservation Plan, and precludes any disturbance or installation of any structure within specific areas. Failure to comply will mean a violation of an approved Tree Conservation Plan and will make the owner subject to mitigation under the Woodland Conservation/Tree Preservation Policy."

- 7. A Type II Tree Conservation Plan shall be approved with the Detailed Site Plan.
- 8. At the time of final Plan approval, the applicant shall dedicate right-of-way along Frank Tippett Road of 40 feet from the center line of the existing pavement. Improvements within the right-of-way shall be determined by DPW&T, and will include adequate acceleration and deceleration lanes at the site entrance. Additionally, DPW&T may require a left-turn lane into the site along northbound Frank Tippett Road if it is determined that sight distance is limited.
- 9. Prior to occupancy of dormatory and faculty housing, the applicant, his heirs, successors, and/or assigns shall pay a pro rata share per student of the cost of the road improvements identified below:
 - a. Widen the eastbound approach of MD 223 at Rosaryville Road to include three lanes, two through lanes and one right-turn lane. The right-turn lane shall be at least 600 feet long.

- b. Widen westbound Rosaryville Road at MD 223 to provide for two through lanes and two left-turn lanes. Each of the left-turn lanes shall be approximately 875 feet long. The south leg of MD 223 shall be widened to receive the dual lanes.
- e. Widen southbound MD 223 at the Rosaryville Road intersection to include dual left-turn lanes of a minimum 725 feet long.
- d. Widen Rosaryville Road to a four-lane cross section from Gambier Drive to MD 223.

The pro rata share shall be payable to Prince George's County, with evidence of the payment provided to the Planning Department with the building permit application. The pro rata share for the university shall be calculated as follows: (i) for the improvements on MD 223 at Rosaryville Road, the amount of \$98.79/student x (Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index at time of building permit application)/(Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index for 1990); and (ii) for the widening of Rosaryville Road, the amount of \$323.84/student x (Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index at time of building permit application)/(Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index for 1990).

The pro rata share for the hotel/conference center shall be calculated as follows: (i) for the improvements on MD 223 at Rosaryville Road, the amount of \$608.20/hotel room x (Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index at time of building permit application)/(Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index for 1990); and (ii) for the widening of Rosaryville Road, the amount of \$1,993.73/hotel room x (Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index at time of building permit application)/(Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index for 1990).

- 10. Prior to the issuance of any building permits within the subject property, the following road improvements shall (a) have full financial assurances, (b) have been permitted for construction with DPW&T; and (c) have a timetable for construction with DPW&T:
 - a. Intersection of Frank Tippett Road and Rosaryville Road:
 - (1) Construct an exclusive left-turn lane, assuming available right-of-way along the Frank Tippett Road approach to the intersection, with the existing approach lane functioning as a left/right/through lane. The applicant shall also provide a second receiving lane along northbound Rosaryville Road, assuming available right-of-way beyond the

^{*}Denotes amendment Strikeout denotes deletion Underlining denotes addition

intersection, with adequate transition and taper. The applicant shall be responsible for the necessary traffic signal, signage, and pavement marking modifications.

- 11. Total development within the subject property shall be limited to a 140 student university, with all students living on campus. Any development other than that identified herein or enrollment beyond 140 students shall require an additional Preliminary Plan of Subdivision with a new determination of the adequacy of transportation facilities.
- *9. Prior to the issuance of building permits, the applicant, his heirs, successors, and/or assigns shall pay a pro rata share of the cost of the road improvements identified below:
 - a. Construct an additional lane in accordance with SHA standards of approximately 400 feet minimum for the southbound approach at the MD 223 and Rosaryville Road intersection. This will provide an exclusive right-turn lane and dual southbound through lanes through the intersection.

The pro rata share shall be payable to Prince George's County, with evidence of the payment provided to the Planning Department with the building permit application. The pro rata share for the university shall be calculated as follows: For the improvements at MD 223/Rosaryville Road, the amount of \$121.15/student x (Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index at time of building permit application)/(Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index for 2001).

The pro rata share for the hotel/conference center shall be calculated as follows: For the improvements at MD 223/Rosaryville Road, the amount of \$745.82/hotel room x (Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index at time of building permit application)/(Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index for 2001).

- *10. Prior to the issuance of any building permits for the conference center or its related facilities, the following road improvements shall (a) have full financial assurances, (b) have been permitted for construction with DPW&T, and (c) have a timetable for construction with DPW&T:
 - <u>a.</u> <u>Intersection of Frank Tippett Road and Rosaryville Road:</u>
 - (1) Construct an exclusive left-turn lane along the Frank Tippett Road approach to the intersection, with the existing approach lane functioning as a left/right/through lane. The applicant shall also provide a second

^{*}Denotes amendment Strikeout denotes deletion Underlining denotes addition

receiving lane along northbound Rosaryville Road beyond the intersection, with adequate transition and taper. The applicant shall be responsible for the necessary traffic signal, signage, and pavement marking modifications.

- *11. Total development within the subject property shall be limited to a 900 student university, with a majority of students living on campus, or equivalent development which is permitted within the R-S zone which generates no more than 253 AM and 320 PM peak hour vehicle trips. Any development other than that identified herein above shall require an additional Preliminary Plan of Subdivision with a new determination of the adequacy of transportation facilities.
- 12. Prior to signature approval, the preliminary Plan shall be revised as follows:
 - a. Preliminary Plan General Note 12 shall be corrected to indicate that the developing property adjoins Historic Site 82A-17, the Joshua Turner House.
 - b. The preliminary Plan shall show the location and correct identification of Historic Site 82A-17, the Joshua Turner House.
 - c. The preliminary Plan shall include a 50-foot-wide trail easement along the subject property=s portions of both stream valleys to accommodate master plan trails, if they are not located on park property.
 - e. The required 10-foot-wide Public Utility Easement shall be graphically depicted on the preliminary Plan along all public and private streets.
 - f. The preliminary Plan shall include the stormwater concept plan approval number and date.
- 13. Prior to the issuance of building permits, the applicant, his heirs, successors and/or assigns shall provide a financial contribution of \$210.00 to the Department of Public Works and Transportation for the placement of a bikeway sign along Frank Tippett Road, designated a Class III Bikeway. A note shall be placed on the final record Plan for payment to be received prior to the issuance of the first building permit.
- 14. Sixty-seven acres of land for Dower House Branch Stream Valley and Piscataway Creek Stream Valley Parks in the 100-year floodplain and shown as part of the Outparcel on staff Exhibit AA@ shall be placed in reservation for park acquisition. Reservation shall

^{*}Denotes amendment Strikeout denotes deletion Underlining denotes addition

be for a period of three years. This reservation shall be subject to the following requirements:

- a. The reservation period shall continue for three years and commence with the recordation of a Reservation Plan recorded with the Final Plan of Subdivision. The reservation area shall also be shown on the Final Plan. The Reservation Plan shall comply with all requirements for recording Plans among the Land Records of Prince George's County.
- b. At the end of the reservation period, if the reservation has not been renewed or if the land reserved has not been acquired for public use and proceedings for acquisition have not been initiated, the reservation shall expire. Prior to the expiration of the three-year reservation period and with the written consent of all land owners, the Planning Board may renew the reservation for additional periods of time (not less than one year) if agreeable to the land owners.
- c. During the reservation period, no building or structure, other than validly approved utilities, roads and public infrastructure, shall be erected upon the reserved land unless otherwise approved by the Planning Board. No trees, topsoil, or cover shall be removed or destroyed, no grading shall be done, and no drainage structures shall be built so as to discharge water upon the reserved land except as provided in Section 24-140(d) of the Subdivision Regulations.
- d. All reserved land shall be maintained by the owner as required by County law. The Planning Board shall be notified immediately upon the sale of any land so reserved.
- e. If, prior to the expiration of the reservation period, the Planning Board determines that the reservation no longer appears necessary, the Planning Board may cancel the reservation with the written consent of the owner.
- 15. Land to be placed in reservation shall be subject to the following:
 - a. The M-NCPPC shall be held harmless for the cost of public improvements associated with land in reservation, including but not limited to, sewer extensions, adjacent road improvements, drains, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, and front-foot benefit charges prior to and subsequent to Final Plan.

^{*}Denotes amendment Strikeout denotes deletion Underlining denotes addition

- b. The boundaries and acreage of land to be purchased by the M-NCPPC shall be indicated on all development plans and permits which include such property.
- c. The land in reservation shall not be disturbed or filled in any way without the prior written consent of the Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR). If the land is to be disturbed, the DPR shall require that a performance bond be posted to warrant restoration, repair or improvements made necessary or required by the M-NCPPC development approval process. The bond or other suitable financial guarantee (suitability to be judged by the General Counsel=s Office, The M-NCPPC) shall be submitted to the DPR within two weeks prior to applying for grading permits.
- d. Storm drain outfalls shall be designed to avoid adverse impacts on lands in reservation. If the outfalls require drainage improvements on adjacent land to be conveyed to or owned by M-NCPPC, the DPR shall review and approve the location and design of these facilities. The DPR may require a performance bond and easement agreement prior to issuance of grading permits.
- e. All waste material of any kind shall be removed from the property to be purchased. The DPR shall inspect the site and verify that it is in acceptable condition for conveyance, prior to purchase.
- f. No stormwater management facilities, or tree conservation or utility easement shall be proposed on lands owned by or to be placed in reservation without prior written consent of the DPR. The DPR shall review and approve the location and/or design of these features. If such proposals are approved by the DPR, a performance bond and an easement agreement may be required prior to the issuance of grading permits.
- 16. The reservation of land along Piscataway Creak can be withdrawn if the adjacent Maryland Environmental Services Property on the west is transferred to the M-NCPPC for park use and it is determined that the Piscataway Creek trail can be built on the west side of the stream.
- 17. Sole access to this property shall be from Frank Tippett Road. Access to the property from any internal residential street shall be denied pursuant to a note to be placed on the final Plan of subdivision.

- 18. At least one additional outparcel shall be created prior to signature approval of the preliminary Plan. This outparcel shall encompass the proposed hotel and conference center. Development of the hotel/conference center shall require the approval of a new Preliminary Plan of Subdivision with a new determination of adequate transportation facilities.
- *18. Impacts to the area of existing wetlands shown to be impacted by the construction of the loop road shall be minimized by the installation of a bridge or arch for the road construction. Details of the design of this structure shall be subject to review at the time of Detailed Site Plan.
- *19. Prior to signature approval, the preliminary plan shall be revised to include at least one additional outparcel for conveyance to the adjoining horse farm.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the findings and reasons for the decision of the Prince George's County Planning Board are as follows:

- 1. The subdivision, as modified, meets the legal requirements of Subtitles 24 and 27 of the Prince George's County Code and of Article 28, Annotated Code of Maryland.
- 2. The property is located on the east side of Frank Tippett Road, south of its intersection with Rosaryville Road.
- 3. The subject property consists of approximately 342.5 acres of land in the R-S Zone. It is undeveloped. Found on Tax Map 117, Grid E-2, the property is identified as Parcels 91, 129, 130, 141, 142, 143 and 214. The applicant proposes to create one 92-acre parcel for the development of a private university for a 900 student enrollment, a 250 room hotel and conference center and dormitories. A 250-acre outparcel will surround the interior parcel. Development of the outparcel will be prohibited without the approval of a new preliminary Plan of subdivision.

Sole access to the property will be provided from Frank Tippett Road. Several local streets dead end into this property. The preliminary Plan does not propose any access from these streets and no access to this site will be permitted from those streets.

At the hearing, the applicant agreed to address the issue of adequate public facilities by eliminating the hotel/conference center and reducing the number of students from 900 to 140. With the imposed conditions, this satisfies the concerns regarding impacts to the roads and schools. The applicant noted that about 70 students are expected in the first

year, with another 70 to enroll in the second year. After the school has been operating for this time, if expansion is desired, the applicant is free to file a new preliminary Plan application for a determination of adequacy of public facilities for the expansion of enrollment and for the hotel/conference center. Until that time, enrollment is capped at 140 students and the hotel/conference center is eliminated from the plan.

To accomplish this reduction, the applicant will revise the preliminary Plan , prior to signature approval, to create one or more outparcels to accommodate the hote/conference center. This will eliminate, for now, the need for the loop road. The removal of the loop road, in turn, eliminates the need for variation approval to disturb wetlands. Therefore, two of the three variations requested are approved, but the variation for the loop road is no longer necessary.

4. Environmental Issues and Variation - This site is subject to the provisions of the Woodland Conservation Ordinance because the site is more than 40,000 square feet in size and contains more than 10,000 square feet of woodland. A Tree Conservation Plan is required to satisfy the requirements of the Woodland Conservation Ordinance. A Tree Conservation Plan, TCP I/110/90, was reviewed and approved with the Comprehensive Design Plan for a previous development named Merrymount. The proposed development differs significantly and a revised Type I TCP is required. For tracking purposes the same TCP number has been assigned.

The Forest Stand Delineation meets all applicable requirements. The Tree Conservation Plan, TCP I/110/90-01, indicates that all required woodland conservation will be met by on-site preservation. Some minor removal of woodland within the floodplain will be needed for installation of the sewer line and stormdrain outfalls, but the precise amount can not be determined until design plans have been approved by the appropriate agencies. The current plan indicates 9.46 acres of woodland clearing, but that may need to be increased when more detailed development plans are prepared. The worksheet correctly indicates that up to 74.25 acres of woodland may be cleared and still accomplish the goal of meeting all required woodland conservation on-site. The plan needs some minor changes.

The site contains significant natural features, which are required to be protected under Section 24-130 of the Subdivision Regulations. A Conservation Easement should be described by bearings and distances on the final Plan and contain all 100-year floodplain, stream buffers, wetlands and wetland buffers, except for approved variation requests, and be reviewed by the Environmental Planning Section prior to final Plan approval.

The wetland delineation has been reviewed and agrees with conditions found during a site visit. The 25-foot wetland buffers are shown accurately. The 100-year floodplain as depicted on the plan is shown accurately. The plan indicates all streams and the minimum 50-foot stream buffers.

Three variation requests in accordance with Section 24-113 of the Subdivision Regulations have been submitted: (1) main access road, (2) internal loop road, and (3) stormdrain/sewer connections. Section 24-113 of the Subdivision Regulations sets forth the required findings for approval of variation requests. The Planning Board may approve variations upon making the following findings:

- A. That the granting of the variation will not be detrimental to the public safety, health or welfare, or injurious to other property.
- B. The conditions of which the variation is based are unique to the property for which the variation is sought and are not applicable generally to other properties.
- C. The variation does not constitute a violation of any other applicable law, ordinance, or regulation.
- D. Because of the particular physical surroundings, shape, or topographical conditions of the specific property involved, a particular hardship to the owner would result, as distinguished from a mere inconvenience, if the strict letter of these regulations is carried out.

<u>Variation 1</u> - It is evident from the plan that the only practical access to the site is from Frank Tippett Road. The stream paralleling Frank Tippett Road will need to be crossed at some point to provide access to the bulk of the property. Access from the north from the stub ends of James Street and Williamsburg Street are not practical alternatives because of the increased travel distance for emergency vehicles and increased traffic through an existing neighborhood. The south and west boundaries of the properties are bordered by Dower House Branch and Piscataway Creek and their associated floodplains and wetlands. Any road crossing in this area would create significantly greater environmental impacts. The road crossing will be subject to further review by Federal, State and County agencies during the permit process. The permit review will ensure that the crossing minimizes environmental impacts and will not be injurious to properties upstream or downstream of the crossing. The Environmental Planning Section supports the variation request for the stream crossing for the access road.

PGCPB No. 01-79(A) File No. 4-00064 Page 12

<u>Variation 2</u> - The loop road design impacts floodplain, wetlands, and wetland buffers. The petition does not indicate an engineering necessity for the alignment which would clearly distinguish inconvenience from hardship. Without a justification that states why the design is necessary and that the impacts are unavoidable, the Environmental Planning Section is unable to support the variation request for this impact. With the elimnation of the loop road, this variation is no longer necessary

*The loop road design impacts wetlands and their associated buffer. The Planning Board finds that the variation for the loop road is appropriate, given the applicant=s agreement with a condition that the road be constructed as a bridge or arch to significantly minimize impacts to the wetlands and buffer. The applicant has stated a strong desire to separate the traffic associated with the hotel/conference center from the pedestrian oriented campus. To accomplish this, the access to the hotel/conference center must stem from the main campus entrance as soon as possible. This necessitates crossing the wetlands. Given this safety concern, impacts to the wetlands are unavoidable. However, minimizing the impacts is appropriate and feasible. Therefore, the road should be constructed as a bridge or arch. Denial of the variation will create a hardship and create an unsafe traffic/pedestrian interface.

<u>Variation 3</u> - Stormdrain and sewer connections are mandated by regulations to ensure the health, safety, and welfare of the community. The stormdrain/sewer connections will be subject to further review by Federal, State and County agencies during the permit process. The permit review will ensure that the stormdrain/sewer connections minimize environmental impacts and will not be injurious to properties upstream or downstream. The Environmental Planning Section supports the variation request for the stormdrain/sewer connections.

A State endangered herbaceous plant, Few-flowered Tick-trefoil (*Desmodium pauciflorum*) was discovered by staff on a site visit in 1990. The population will need to be relocated. The Maryland Endangered Species Act requires review of all state permits by the Maryland Department of Natural Resources (MDNR). MDNR must issue a finding of no significant impact before the permit may be released by any state agency. The Environmental Planning Section shall coordinate with the applicant and MDNR during the State permit review process.

According to maps of the Air Installation Compatible Use Zone (AICUZ) prepared by the Department of the Air Force most of the property is within the 65 dBA (Ldn) noise zone for the Andrews Air Force Base. The proposed use includes residential components

which will need to be constructed to reduce interior noise levels to 45 dBA (Ldn) or less.

5. <u>Community Planning</u> - The 1993 *Subregion VI Study Area Master Plan* recommends suburban residential land use at a density of up to 3.5 dwelling units per acre. The 1994 *Subregion VI Study Area SMA* retained this site in the R-S (1.6-2.6) Comprehensive Design Zone as previously approved by Application A-9738-C on May 18, 1990. Prior to reclassification in the R-S Zone, the property was classified in the R-A and R-R Zones.

According to zoning ordinance procedures as recently amended by CB-94-2000, a private college or university on more than 300 acres is now a permitted use in the R-S Zone if previously classified in the O-S, R-A, R-E, or R-R Zones. The subject application is such a property. Detailed site plan review is required by new section 27-443(b). The referred subdivision application does not describe the development proposal beyond the term A private university, @ thus the comments below are made somewhat in the abstract.

The subject site is located in the interior of the Rosaryville community living area in Subregion VI. AThe Plan recommends that the established Low Suburban (R-R) character of this community continue. (Plan text p. 92). Public and private educational uses through the high school level are recognized in the master plan, and in zoning, as appropriate uses within residential living areas. Post-secondary educational uses, such as the private university proposed in this application, are not specifically addressed in the Subregion VI Study Area Master Plan and were probably not contemPlaned. Regardless, as cited above, a private university is allowed by zoning regulations for the residential categories advocated for living areas such as Rosaryville. The ability of this proposal to satisfy the design guidelines specified for the detailed site plan review that is required for this use will determine whether it is appropriate at this location.

A designated historic site, the Joshua Turner House ((82A-17), is located on Parcel 81 at 8801 Frank Tippett Road, adjoining the northeast part of the subject property.

6. <u>Parks and Recreation</u> - According to Section 24-134(a) of the Prince George=s County Subdivision Regulations, the above referenced subdivision is exempt from Mandatory Dedication requirements because the proposed subdivided parcels are greater than one acre in size.

However, the property borders Piscataway Creek on the west and Dower House Pond Branch on the south. The Master Plan designates the stream valley land along the west and south property lines for stream valley parks along both branches. In addition, a

^{*}Denotes amendment Strikeout denotes deletion Underlining denotes addition

Master Plan Park Symbol for a 50-acre community park is designated at the south tip of the property. Section 24-121(a)(5) of the Prince Georges County Subdivision Regulations states that the Plan shall conform to the area master plan, including maps, and text, unless the Planning Board finds that events have occurred to render the relevant plan recommendations no longer appropriate or the District Council has not imposed the recommended zoning. Section 24-121(a)(6) of the Prince Georges County Subdivision Regulations states that when indicated by a master plan or the General Plan or when requested by a public agency, land may be placed in reservation, pursuant to Division 7 of the Subdivision Subtitle. Section 24-122(b) of the Prince Georges County Subdivision Regulations states that the land for public facilities shown on the General Plan, functional master plans and/or area master plans, and watershed plans shall be reserved, dedicated, or otherwise provided for. Section 24-139(a) of the Prince George=s County Subdivision Regulations states that the Planning Board, when reviewing a preliminary Plan, shall refer to the General Plan, master plans, or amendments and parts thereof, to determine the need for reserving for public use any of the land included in the preliminary Plan. Reservation may be required for parks, playgrounds, or other recreational areas.

The Park, Planning and Development Division staff had a meeting with the applicant on December 20, 2000 to discuss master plan issues. The developer expressed concerns related to the safety and security of locating a college campus on the property considering the proposed stream valley park and a community park on the site. In addition, options to relocate the master planned community park were discussed.

After careful evaluation of the area, site, master plan and previously mentioned Subdivision Regulations, the Department of Parks and Recreation makes the following findings:

- a. The stream valleys along the Piscataway Creek and Dower House Pond
 Branch are 20 to 50 feet lower than the developable areas of the site.
 Staff believes that the site can be graded and landscaped in such way to
 provide a substantial barrier between the site and the proposed park area
 and achieve the safety sought by the applicant.
- b. Dower House Pond Branch Stream Valley Park will provide an important trail link between the Potomac River and the Patuxent River. Staff did not find any alternatives which could substitute for this important trail linkage.

- c. It may be possible to relocate the planned trail along the Piscataway

 Creek to the west side of the stream valley. Further study of this issue by
 staff is needed.
- d. The 50-acre master planned community park in the southern tip of the property will not be accessible to the community because of the nature of the development. Considering this fact and the fact that there are other vacant parcels in the area that could serve as the site for a Community Park, staff believes the Community Park can be moved to a more accessible parcel of land in the area.
- e. The applicant discussed the option of providing parkland from the adjacent 403.7 acre Maryland Environmental Services Property on the west in substitution for the Community Parkland and eastern portion of Piscataway Creek Stream Valley Park.
- f. Nothing has occurred which renders the master plan recommendations for establishing the stream valley parks along the Piscataway Creek and Dower House Pond Branch inappropriate.

In accordance with Section 24-139 of the Subdivision Regulations, a map showing the area to be reserved has been provided. It is anticipated that the property placed in reservation will be acquired by the Department of Parks and Recreation within the three fiscal years. The property owner, County Executive, and County Council have been notified of the recommendation to place this property in reservation. Therefore, staff recommends that approval of the subdivision be subject to conditions requiring reservation of land for master plan park and trail implementation.

7. Trails - There are master plan trail/bikeway issues along the Piscataway Creek and Dower House Stream Valleys and along Frank Tippett Road. The *Adopted and Approved Subregion VI Master Plan* recommends dedication of the stream valleys to M-NCPPC and the construction of multi-use trails. If this land is not dedicated or otherwise acquired by M-NCPPC, easements are recommended to accommodate the possible future construction of the stream valley trails. This will ensure continuity of the trails network as proposed in the master plan. The trail along Frank Tippett Road requires only bikeway signage at this time with future bikeway/trail improvements coming as part of a future Department of Public Works and Transportation road improvement project.

The Adopted and Approved Subregion VI Master Plan recommends that Frank Tippett Road be designated as a Class III bikeway with appropriate signage. Because Frank Tippett Road is a County right-of-way, the applicant should provide a financial contribution of \$210 to the Department of Public Works and Transportation for the placement of this signage.

The *Adopted and Approved Subregion VI Master Plan* also recommends stream valley parks and multi-use trails along the subject property=s entire frontage of Piscataway Creek and Dower House Creek. If park dedication is not required, a 50-foot-wide trail easement should be provided along the subject property=s portions of both stream valleys to accommodate these master plan trails.

8. Transportation - The applicant prepared a traffic impact study, dated November 2000, and prepared in accordance with the methodologies in the Guidelines for the Analysis of the Traffic Impact of Development Proposals (Guidelines). The traffic study has been referred to the Department of Public Works and Transportation (DPW&T) and the State Highway Administration (SHA) for their comments, and the agencies= comments are attached.

Summary of Traffic Impacts

The application is a preliminary Plan of subdivision for a subdivision consisting of one parcel which will contain a university and an affiliated conference center with hotel. The transportation staff used counts provided by the applicant to review conditions at the following intersections:

- \$ US 301/Frank Tippett Road (signalized)
- \$ Frank Tippett Road/Rosaryville Road (signalized)
- \$ MD 223 Rosaryville Road (signalized)
- \$ Frank Tippett Road/site entrance (future, unsignalized)

The existing conditions at the intersections within the study area for this application are summarized below:

EXISTING CONDITIONS					
- Intersection	Critical Lane (AM and			Service (LOS, and PM)	
US 301 and Frank Tippett Road	936	1131	- A	B	
Frank Tippett Road and Rosaryville Road	1281	883	E	A	
MD 223 and Rosaryville Road	1188	1570	E	E	
Frank Tippett Road and site entrance	- future	-	-	-	

*In analyzing unsignalized intersections, average vehicle delay for various movements through the intersection is measured in seconds of vehicle delay. The numbers shown indicate the greatest average delay for any movement within the intersection. According to the *Guidelines*, an average vehicle delay exceeding 45.0 seconds indicates inadequate traffic operations. Delays of +999 are outside the range of the procedures, and should be interpreted as excessive.

The traffic study included background conditions for extensive background development in the area. As shown in the traffic study, there are several problems with background traffic noted by staff:

- a. Background development appears to include over 500 unbuilt residences in Holloway Estates. As noted in the Scoping Agreement which is attached to the traffic study, staff checked that number at the request of the applicant and determined a maximum of 120 unbuilt units in Holloway Estates.
- b. A portion of the trips generated in background developments in Marlton should have been assigned up Rosaryville Road.
- e. While the trip distribution shown for The Woodyard was correct, it did not appear that all trips generated by the commercial portion of The Woodyard were assigned.
- d. There was no evidence that Woodyard Estates was counted into the background even though it was included as a background development.

These changes are incorporated into the staff recommendations.

^{*}Denotes amendment Strikeout denotes deletion Underlining denotes addition

The traffic study also includes roadway improvements, some of which are contained in the County Capital Improvement Program (CIP) as Project No. FD669451. It should be noted that this project, while it is shown in the CIP as fully funded for construction within the next six years, would obtain the majority of its funding from developer contributions. The traffic study appropriately assumes the CIP-related improvements in calculating background traffic, and it recognizes the need for the subject property to contribute its fair share toward the developer portion of these improvements.

The background traffic conditions at the intersections within the study area for this application are summarized below:

ıl Lane Volume	Lovel of Se		
M and PM)	Level of Service (LOS, AM and PM)		
05 1293	- B	- E	
1119 1119	E	В	
- 245 1297	E	E	
-	-	-	
	105 1293 - 1119	H05 1293 B 549 H119 E 245 1297 C	

*In analyzing unsignalized intersections, average vehicle delay for various movements through the intersection is measured in seconds of vehicle delay. The numbers shown indicate the greatest average delay for any movement within the intersection. According to the *Guidelines*, an average vehicle delay exceeding 45.0 seconds indicates inadequate traffic operations. Delays of +999 are outside the range of the procedures, and should be interpreted as excessive.

The applicant proposes two professional schools with 450 students apiece (total of 900 students) and a 250-room hotel/conference center. While the staff does not have a great concern over the rates used in the traffic study for the hotel/conference center, there are concerns over the trip generation used for the school since rates in the Institute of Transportation Engineers= *Trip Generation Manual* were not used. For that reason, staff conducted a traffic count at Goucher College. While Goucher College is not entirely similar to the proposal, it is a private suburban school which is well-buffered from the surrounding community. Staff surveyed the one entrance to and from the school, and obtained the following summarized results:

^{*}Denotes amendment Strikeout denotes deletion Underlining denotes addition

Summary of Traffic Count Data - Goucher College - For Purposes of Trip Generation Grad & Undergrad Students (FTE) = 1400; Percentage Living on Campus = 48%
Faculty $= 1/16$

Date: 3/7/2001		Weather: Clear & cool					
Location: Entrance to Goucher College, Towson, MD		Counter: Tom Masog					
-	- Count		Ħ	-	- Count		
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Time Period - AM	In	Out	Total	Time Period - PM	In	Out	Total
- 7:30 – 7:45	13	- 4	- 17	- 4:00 – 4:15	33	47	- 80
- 7:45 - 8:00	- 22	- 6	- 28	- 4:15 - 4:30	- 25	- 29	- 54
-	-	- 8	-	-	-	-	-
8:00 – 8:15	48		56	4:30 - 4:45	19	30	49
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8:15 - 8:30	55	10	65	4:45 - 5:00	20	32	52
-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
8:30 – 8:45	45		52	5:00 – 5:15	29	69	98
8:45 - 9:00	- 54	- 4	- 58	- 5:15 – 5:30	30	47	- 77
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	237	39	276	Total	156	254	410
-	1		-	-	1	1	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Peak Hour: 8:00 - 9:00	202	29	231	Peak Hour: 4:30 - 5:30	98	178	276

Based on the results obtained from Goucher, the staff has the following findings and conclusions about the trip generation of the school portion of the proposal:

- e. Based strictly upon enrollment, the proposed school is 64 percent of the size of Goucher, which would suggest AM and PM trip totals of 0.64 x 231, or 149, and 0.64 x 276, or 177.
- f. The applicant intends to establish TLBU with the objective that all students will live on campus. Conversations with the applicant have indicated that students will not be provided with the option of living off-campus. Assuming that 25 percent of Goucher off-campus students arrive at campus by non-vehicular means, this would suggest that TLBU rates should be 39 percent less than comparable Goucher rates to account

^{*}Denotes amendment Strikeout denotes deletion Underlining denotes addition

PGCPB No. 01-79(A) File No. 4-00064 Page 20

for all students living on campus. This factor would suggest AM and PM trip totals of (1-0.39) x 149, or 91, and (1-0.39) x 177, or 108.

- g. The applicant intends for all TLBU faculty to live on campus. There may be a few faculty members of Goucher who live on-campus, but the great majority live off-campus. Because many Goucher faculty are part-time while all TLBU faculty would be full-time, the staff assumes the two schools to have similar numbers of faculty, relative to the number of students, teaching at the same time. Now, the applicant has assumed that faculty make no peak hour trips. But for each faculty member, there would be a household of spouses and children, with the appropriate number of automobiles, who would make trips during peak hours. This would suggest that a heavily on-campus faculty would make nearly the same number of vehicle trips to and from a campus during a peak hour as a heavily off-campus faculty. Therefore, the transportation staff sees no rationale for reducing the Goucher-comparable trip numbers to account for faculty living on the campus.
- h. Staff data for Goucher was not obtained. Staff presumes that TLBU would be staffed similarly to Goucher, although the number of peak hour trips assumed to be made by university staff is a little high. The assumption that 95 staff persons would make 90 peak hour trips to TLBU seems high for two reasons: (1) custodial staff and cooks would arrive/leave TLBU well before/after the peak hours, while clerical and professional staff would arrive near and during the peak hours; and (2) regional auto occupancy is 1.19, as opposed to the 95/90, or 1.06, suggested by the trip generation results.
- i. The transportation staff concludes that the applicant=s assumption of 90 AM peak hour trips very closely resembles the staff=s count at Goucher. We would suggest that the AM trips be oriented 85/15 inbound/outbound. This would account for trips made by family of faculty and staff persons working for TLBU.
- j. The transportation staff concludes that the applicant=s assumption of 90 PM peak hour trips is a little low compared to the staff=s count at Goucher. The applicant failed to account for travel by family of faculty. We also believe that the applicant failed to account for incidental travel by students and faculty members after classes, and also failed to account for visitors to the campus. Staff would suggest that PM travel is 20

^{*}Denotes amendment Strikeout denotes deletion Underlining denotes addition

percent higher than AM travel, with trips oriented 35/65 inbound/outbound.

Total estimated trip generation for the site is as follows:

SITE TRIP GENERATION - TRANSNATIONAL LAW & BUSINESS UNIVERSITY					
- - Use	- Source of Information	New T	1		
- University 900 students	- discussion above	76/14	42/78		
Hotel/Conference Center - 250 rooms	- Guidelines -	- 88/75	112/88		
Site - Total Trips		164/89	154/166		

The site was analyzed using the following trip distribution:

MD 223 from the north: 40%
MD 223 from the west: 25%
US 301 from the south: 15%

US 301 (via Rosaryville) from the north:10%

Commo/Surratts from the west: 10%

With site traffic, the following operating conditions were determined:

TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS					
- Intersection	Critical Lane V		Level of Service (LOS, AM and PM)		
US 301 and Frank Tippett Road	1129	1316	В	Đ	
Frank Tippett Road and Rosaryville Road	1657	1270	F	E	
MD 223 and Rosaryville Road	1302	1368	Đ	Đ	
Frank Tippett Road and site entrance	- 12.7*	40.1*			

^{*}In analyzing unsignalized intersections, average vehicle delay for various movements through the intersection is measured in seconds of vehicle delay. The numbers shown indicate the greatest average delay for any movement within the intersection. According to the *Guidelines*, an average vehicle delay exceeding 45.0 seconds indicates inadequate traffic operations. Delays of +999 are outside the range of the procedures, and should be interpreted

^{*}Denotes amendment Strikeout denotes deletion Underlining denotes addition

as excessive.

The Prince George's County Planning Board, in the *Guidelines*, has defined level of service D (LOS D) as the lowest acceptable operating condition for signalized intersections on the transportation system. Under total future traffic as developed using the *Guidelines*, adding the impact of the proposed development, the intersection of Frank Tippett Road and Rosaryville Road was found to be operating worse than LOS D in the AM peak hour. In response to the inadequacy, the traffic study recommends the addition of an exclusive left-turn lane to the Frank Tippett Road approach to the intersection. With this improvement, along with the needed additional receiving lane along northbound Rosaryville Road and the required signal, signage, and marking modifications, this intersection will operate at LOS C with a CLV of 1,222 in the AM peak hour and at LOS B with a CLV of 1,072 in the PM peak hour.

There are no technical issues concerning the other two signalized intersections or the unsignalized intersection.

Road Club Issues

Several subdivisions in the area of the subject property have conditions to improve or contribute to the improvement of the intersection of MD 223 and Rosaryville Road, the link of Rosaryville Road between MD 223 and Frank Tippett Road, and the intersection of MD 223 and Dower House Road. In addition, the Merry Mount property (A-9738, approved under PGCPB No. 89-112 and District Council Ordinance No. 25-1990) also has similar conditions for development. The improvements required for a finding of adequacy for the subject property include:]

- ! Widen the eastbound approach of MD 223 at Rosaryville Road to include three lanes, two through lanes and one right-turn lane. The right-turn lane shall be at least 600 feet long.
- Widen westbound Rosaryville Road at MD 223 to provide for two through lanes and two left-turn lanes. Each of the left-turn lanes shall be approximately 875 feet long. The south leg of MD 223 shall be widened to receive the dual lanes.
- ! Widen southbound MD 223 at the Rosaryville Road intersection to include dual left-turn lanes of a minimum 725 feet long.
- ! Widen Rosaryville Road to a four-lane cross section from MD 223 to Gambier Drive.

In its approval of the Harman Property Cluster, the Planning Board established a pro rata share for the construction of these improvements. The methodology for determining the pro rata share is based on the subject development's use of available AM and PM peak hour capacity in consideration of all funds which are currently committed to other developments.

The cost of improving the MD 223/Rosaryville Road intersection is \$518,000 in January 1990 dollars. Four subdivisions have conditions to improve this intersection, and these developments add a total of 430 AM and PM peak hour trips to the intersection. The university use on the subject property adds 138 AM and PM peak hour trips and the hotel/conference use on the subject property adds 236 AM and PM peak hour trips, for a total of 804 trips. The cost per trip is \$644.28, or a total of \$88,910.64 (university) and \$152,050.08 (hotel/conference) for the subject property. With 900 students and 250 hotel rooms respectively planned within the subject property, the pro rata share for the subject property of improving the MD 223/Rosaryville Road intersection is \$98.79 per student and \$608.20 per hotel room, in 1990 dollars.

The cost of widening Rosaryville Road between MD 223 and Gambier Drive is \$1,233,409 in January 1990 dollars. Four subdivisions have conditions to improve this link. These developments add a total of 210 AM and PM peak hour trips to this link. The university use on the subject property adds 138 AM and PM peak hour trips and the hotel/conference use on the subject property adds 238 AM and PM peak hour trips, for a total of 584 trips. The cost per trip is \$2,112.00, or a total of \$291,456.00 (university) and \$498,432.00 (hotel/conference) for the subject property. With 900 students and 250 hotel rooms respectively planned within the subject property, the pro rata share for the subject property of widening Rosaryville Road is \$323.84 per student and \$1,993.73 per hotel room, in 1990 dollars.

The total cost for these Road Club improvements for the subject property is \$380,366.64 for the 900-student university (\$422.63 per student) and \$650,482.08 for the 250-room hotel and conference center (\$2,601.93 per hotel room).

Frank Tippett Road is a Master Plan collector, and the plan must reflect dedication of 40 feet from center line along the property frontage. Staff notes that the internal loop road is proposed to be a private facility. If the outparcel is ever proposed for development with separate uses on separate lots, this may cause a problem. Each lot must have direct vehicular access to a public street. No dedication of the internal loop road is required at this time, but it should be designed to public standards. If future development is proposed and dedication required, DPW&T may not accept a substandard road.]

Agency Comments

SHA affirmed and confirmed the major conclusions of the traffic study, and indicated their concurrance.

DPW&T had more extensive comments on the traffic study. Their comments are summarized below:

- a. DPW&T suggested that a trip or parking cap be implemented in the event that another group were to take over the site once it is built. The transportation staff intends to recommend a trip cap as a part be a condition of any approval.
- b. DPW&T indicated that a growth rate should have been assumed along Frank Tippett Road and Rosaryville Road. While it is not common for staff to require a growth factor along collector roadways, the traffic study assumed considerable growth along both roadways, and the staff analysis assumed even more by adding through traffic from approved developments in Marlton. Background traffic resulted in a growth rate on both roadways in excess of 22 percent, which the transportation planning staff believes is more than sufficient to account for ongoing traffic growth.
- e. DPW&T=s fourth comment about acceleration and deceleration lanes at the site entrance should be implemented as a part of the frontage improvements which are required at the time of roadway dedication. Nonetheless, staff=s condition will reference the need for acceleration and deceleration lanes in their condition.
- d. Improvements at MD 223/Rosaryville will be accomplished by payment of a pro-rata share, as has been approved by the Planning Board in other previous cases in the area.
- e. DPW&T raises no objections to the applicant=s plan to improve the Frank Tippett Road/Rosaryville Road intersection.

At the hearing, the applicant stated that there would be no peak hour trips. A bus service would be provided for students who wish to leave the campus. Most of the maintenance of the grounds and facilities would be carried out by faculty and staff; a small custodial and maintenance staff would be retained for some work.

Although the applicant proffered that there would be no peak hour trips, it is reasonable to assume there will be. The applicant has suggested that this is an atypical school. That may be the case, but some peak hour trips are undoubtedly occur. Therefore, this approval includes conditions relating to improving the affected transportation facilities.

Based on the preceding findings, the Transportation Planning Section concludes that adequate transportation facilities would exist to serve the proposed subdivision as required under Section 24-124 of the Prince George's County Code if the application is approved with several transportation related conditions included in this report

*8. Transportation - The applicant originally received Planning Board approval for the construction of a professional university for 140 students. The applicant proposes to return to the original proposal to construct a university consisting of two professional schools with 450 students each (for a total of 900 students) and a 250-room hotel and conference center.

The applicant prepared a traffic impact study dated November 2000, and prepared in accordance with the methodologies in the *Guidelines for the Analysis of the Traffic Impact of Development Proposals (Guidelines)*. The traffic study was been referred to the Department of Public Works and Transportation (DPW&T) and the State Highway Administration (SHA) for their comments, and both agencies= comments were provided when the application was originally reviewed.

The Planning Board recently reconsidered elements of the approval of Woodyard Estates (approved as preliminary plan of subdivision 4-88269) - in particular, the payment formula regarding contributions to transportation improvements in the area. The significant changes in that approval have been added to the staff=s recommendation concerning this case.

Summary of Traffic Impacts

The application is a preliminary Plan of subdivision for a subdivision consisting of one parcel which will contain a university and an affiliated conference center with hotel. The

transportation staff used counts provided by the applicant to review conditions at the following intersections:

- \$ US 301/Frank Tippett Road (signalized)
- \$ Frank Tippett Road/Rosaryville Road (signalized)
- \$ MD 223 Rosaryville Road (signalized)
- \$ Frank Tippett Road/site entrance (future, unsignalized)

The existing and background conditions at the intersections within the study area for this application are as provided in transportation=s original referral.

The applicant proposes two professional schools with 450 students apiece (total of 900 students) and a 250-room hotel/conference center. The question of site trip generation was discussed at length during staff=s original review and during the public hearing. In the course of the hearing, the applicant proffered to have approval for only 140 students in the professional schools, with no conference center. Since that time, the applicant has shown a desire to return to the original proposal, and the Planning Board has granted the reconsideration to allow the original proposal to be discussed again. In requesting the original proposal, the applicant has indicated a willingness to accept staff=s original trip generation analysis. This analysis was discussed at length in staff=s original referral and is summarized as follows:

SITE TRIP GENERATION - TRANSNATIONAL LAW & BUSINESS UNIVERSITY					
<u>Use</u>	Source of <u>Information</u>	New 7	_		
<u>University - 900 students</u>	discussion in earlier memorandum	76/14	42/78		
Hotel/Conference Center - 250 rooms	<u>Guidelines</u>	<u>88/75</u>	112/88		
Site - Total Trips	<u></u>	164/89	154/166		

The site was analyzed using an appropriate site trip distribution, and total traffic conditions are as summarized in the original referral.

The Prince George's County Planning Board, in the *Guidelines*, has defined level of service D (LOS D) as the lowest acceptable operating condition for signalized intersections on the transportation system. Under total future traffic as developed using

PGCPB No. 01-79(A) File No. 4-00064 Page 27

the *Guidelines*, adding the impact of the proposed development, the intersection of Frank Tippett Road and Rosaryville Road was found to be operating worse than LOS D in the AM peak hour. In response to the inadequacy, the traffic study recommends the addition of an exclusive left-turn lane to the Frank Tippett Road approach to the intersection. With this improvement, along with the needed additional receiving lane along northbound Rosaryville Road and the required signal, signage, and marking modifications, this intersection will operate at LOS C with a CLV of 1,222 in the AM peak hour and at LOS B with a CLV of 1,072 in the PM peak hour. Due to the limited impact of students at a predominantly residential campus on the critical movements at this intersection, however, the transportation staff has determined that staging of this improvement with construction of the conference center and its related lodging facilities would be appropriate.

Several subdivisions in the area of the subject property have conditions to contribute to the improvement of intersections in the area. In the course of the Planning Board=s reconsideration of Woodyard Estates, that applicant submitted a traffic study which reviewed traffic conditions at critical intersections in the area. These requirements were reviewed by planning staff and the operating agencies. They included cost estimates, and these estimates were used with existing resolutions and collections to date to revise the pro-rata share procedure in the area.

The MD 223 and Rosaryville Road was deemed to be critical for the subject property. The improvements required for a finding of adequacy at this location would include the construction of an additional lane in accordance with SHA standards of approximately 400 feet minimum for the southbound approach at the MD 223 and Rosaryville Road intersection, with this improvement providing an exclusive right-turn lane and dual southbound through lanes through the intersection. With these improvements and the buildout as proposed at TLBU, the MD 223/Rosaryville Road intersection would operate at Level-of-Service (LOS) D, with a critical lane volume (CLV) of 1,312, in the AM peak hour, and at LOS D with a CLV of 1,396 in the PM peak hour. As presented, the cost for improving the MD 223/Rosaryville Road intersection is \$425,000 in current dollars.

In its approval of the Harman Property Cluster, the Planning Board established a pro rata share for the construction of these improvements. The methodology for determining the pro rata share is based on the subject development's use of available AM and PM peak hour capacity in consideration of all funds which are currently committed to other developments. The analysis done recently for Woodyard Estates and which was approved by the Planning Board is presented below:

MD 223 and Rosaryville Road Intersection							
Development	Contributing Trips (AM & PM Combined)	Percent Share	<u>Pro-Rata</u> <u>Share</u>	Prev. Allocation with Inflation			
<u>Total</u>			\$425,000.00				
Less Graystone At Marlboroug	<u>gh</u>		\$33,472.00				
Less Rosary Woods			\$31,130.00				
Less The Woodyard (76 units	built of 143 recorded)		\$50,215.00				
New Total for Allocation	431	100.00%	\$310,183.00				
Woodyard Estates	<u>108</u>	25.06%	\$77,725.67				
TLBU (900 students)	<u>138</u>	32.02%	\$99,316.13				
The Woodyard (67 units)	<u>75</u>	17.40%	\$53,976.16	\$63,120.00			
The Woodyard (L-A-C)	<u>86</u>	19.95%	\$61,892.66	\$48,555.00			
Holloway Estates	<u>24</u>	<u>5.57%</u>	\$17,272.37	\$13,296.00			

Notes: Holloway Estates has condition to pay a maximum of \$9,728. Woodyard L-A-C has condition to pay maximum of \$35,525. Woodyard residential is currently paying \$689.27 per unit for a total of \$46,181. The minimum between inflated committed cost and the fair share is highlighted. The Woodyard Estates and TLBU payments will be adjusted accordingly.

The italicized notes to this table suggest that most costs at this location are already committed. These committed costs are adjusted for inflation, with the minimum between the inflated committed and the revised pro-rata share to be used in determining final allocations for TLBU and Woodyard Estates. As a result, the costs are determined as follows:

New Total for Allocation

\$310,183

Committed through Prior Resolutions (minimum of inflated committed and fair share

\$115,827

^{*}Denotes amendment Strikeout denotes deletion Underlining denotes addition

Remaining Split for Woodyard Estates (108/246 = 43.9024%)
Remaining Split for TLBU (138/246 = 56.0976%)
\$109,030, or \$121.15 per student

\$ 85,326

In consideration that Woodyard Estates and the student component of TLBU would pay \$790.06 per trip to improve the MD 223/Rosaryville Road intersection, staff notes that the conference center component would contribute 236 trips to the intersection, and should pay the same per-trip cost, or a total of \$186,455 for the conference center (\$745.82 per hotel room). When the recommendations for the Woodyard Estates reconsideration were developed, it was not clear at that time that TLBU would pursue approval of the conference center. All costs presented reflect a recent analysis with 2001 costs.

In consideration of these findings and the findings provided in the transportation staff=s previous referral, a reconsideration of the plan raises no new off-site transportation issues.

Based on the preceding findings, the Transportation Planning Section concludes that adequate transportation facilities would exist to serve the proposed subdivision as required under Section 24-124 of the Prince George's County Code if the application is approved with the following revised conditions:

- 9. Prior to the issuance of building permits, the applicant, his heirs, successors, and/or assigns shall pay a pro rata share of the cost of the road improvements identified below:
 - a. Construct an additional lane in accordance with SHA standards of approximately 400 feet minimum for the southbound approach at the MD 223 and Rosaryville Road intersection. This will provide an exclusive right-turn lane and dual southbound through lanes through the intersection.

The pro rata share shall be payable to Prince George's County, with evidence of the payment provided to the Planning Department with the building permit application. The pro rata share for the university shall be calculated as follows: For the improvements at MD 223/Rosaryville Road, the amount of \$121.15/student x (Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index at time of building permit application)/(Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index for 1990).

The pro rata share for the hotel/conference center shall be calculated as follows: For the improvements at MD 223/Rosaryville Road, the amount of \$745.82/hotel room x (Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index at time of

- <u>building permit application</u>)/(Engineering News Record Highway Construction Cost Index for 1990).
- 10. Prior to the issuance of any building permits for the conference center or its related facilities, the following road improvements shall (a) have full financial assurances, (b) have been permitted for construction with DPW&T, and (c) have a timetable for construction with DPW&T:
 - <u>a.</u> <u>Intersection of Frank Tippett Road and Rosaryville Road:</u>
 - (1) Construct an exclusive left-turn lane along the Frank Tippett
 Road approach to the intersection, with the existing approach
 lane functioning as a left/right/through lane. The applicant shall
 also provide a second receiving lane along northbound
 Rosaryville Road beyond the intersection, with adequate
 transition and taper. The applicant shall be responsible for the
 necessary traffic signal, signage, and pavement marking
 modifications.
- 11. Total development within the subject property shall be limited to a 900 student university, with a majority of students living on campus, or equivalent development which is permitted within the R-S zone which generates no more than 253 AM and 320 PM peak hour vehicle trips. Any development other than that identified herein above shall require an additional Preliminary Plan of Subdivision with a new determination of the adequacy of transportation facilities.
- 9. Schools The Growth Policy and Public Facilities Planning Section has reviewed the subdivision plans for adequacy of public facilities in accordance with Section 24-122.01 and 24-122.02 of the Subdivision Regulations and the *Regulations to Analyze the Development Impact on Public School Facilities* (revised July 2000) (CR-4-1998). The subdivision may be exempt from the Adequate Public Facilities test for schools because it is a commercial use. However, there is a residential component to this proposal in the form of student and professor housing. Staff asked the applicant to provide some documentation regarding the housing type and units so that a determination may be made as to the need for an adequacy finding. As of the writing of this staff report, no such information has been submitted. Given this remaining uncertainty, staff recommends that no residential component be permitted until an adequacy test has been performed. This will require the submission of another preliminary Plan.
- 10. <u>Fire and Rescue</u> The Growth Policy and Public Facilities Planning has reviewed the subdivision plans for adequacy of public facilities.
 - a. The existing fire engine service at Marlboro Fire Station, Company 45

^{*}Denotes amendment Strikeout denotes deletion <u>Underlining</u> denotes addition

- located at 7710 Croom Road has a service response time of 8.25 minutes, which is beyond the 3.25 minutes for response time guidelines.
- b. The existing ambulance service at Marlboro Fire Station, Company 45 located at 7710 Croom Road has a service response time of 8.25 minutes, which is beyond the 4.25 minutes for response time guidelines.
- c. The existing paramedic service at Clinton Fire Station, Company 25 located at 9025 Woodyard Road has a service response time of 7.91 minutes, which is beyond the 7.25 minutes for response time guidelines.
- d. The existing ladder truck service at Clinton Fire Station, Company 25 located at 9025 Woodyard Road has a service response time of 7.91 minutes, which is beyond the 4.25 minutes response time guideline.

These findings are in conformance with the Adopted and Approved Public Safety Master Plan 1990 and the Guidelines for the Analysis of Development Impact on Fire and Rescue Facilities. To alleviate the negative impact on fire and rescue services due to the inadequate service discussed above, the Fire Department recommends that all commercial structures be fully sprinkled in accordance with National Fire Protection Association Standard 13 and all applicable Prince George's County Laws.

- 11. <u>Police Facilities</u> The proposed development is within the service area for District V-Clinton. In accordance with Section 24-122.1(c)(1)(A) and (B) of the Subdivision Regulations of Prince George's County, staff concludes that the existing County police facilities will be adequate to serve the proposed Transnational Law and Business University development.
- 12. <u>Health Department</u> The Health Department reviewed the application and offered no comments.
- 13. <u>Stormwater Management</u> The Department of Environmental Resources (DER), Development Services Division, has determined that on-site stormwater management is required. A Stormwater Management Concept Plan has been submitted but not yet approved. To ensure that development of this site does not result in on-site or downstream flooding, this concept plan must be approved prior to signature approval of the preliminary Plan. Development must be in accordance with this approved plan.
- 14. <u>Public Utility Easement</u> The preliminary Plan does not show the required 10-foot-wide Public Utility Easement. Prior to signature approval of the preliminary Plan, this easement must be shown along all public and private streets.
- 15. <u>Historic Preservation</u> The northernmost section of the property wraps around the west side of Historic Site 82A-17, the Joshua Turner House, which is located on six acres of

PGCPB No. 01-79(A) File No. 4-00064 Page 32

Parcel 91 on Tax Map 118. The General Notes (#12) for the Preliminary Plan incorrectly indicate that AThere are no historical resources or cemeteries located on or near this site. @ The Preliminary Plan incorrectly shows the Gladmon property (fronting on Frank Tippett Road) as Parcel 81; it is in fact Parcel 91 on Tax Map 118. This parcel is Historic Site 82A-17 (the Joshua Turner House), but the Preliminary Plan does not identify it as such.

The Joshua Turner House (Historic Site 82A-17) is a 2 2-story, cross-gabled frame house, with paneled gables, twentieth-century stucco covering, and elegant interior detail. It was built for Joshua J. Turner, a Baltimore entrepreneur who specialized in agricultural fertilizers. The house is significant not only for its fine Queen Anne style decorative detail, but also as the country house of a successful businessman. The Joshua Turner House, with its six-acre Environmental Setting was designated as a Historic Site in 1988.

The preliminary Plan must reflect this historic site. A bufferyard in accordance with the *Landscape Manual* Requirements will be required at the time of development of the outparcel. A new preliminary Plan will be required to develop the outparcel. At this time, the historic site is buffered from the developable parcel by several hundred feet of woodlands.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that an appeal of the Planning Board=s action must be filed with Circuit Court for Prince George=s County, Maryland within thirty (30) days following the adoption of this Resolution.

PGCPB No. 01-79(A) File No. 4-00064 Page 33

* * * * * * * * * * * *

This is to certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the action taken by the Prince George's County Planning Board of The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission on the motion of Commissioner Lowe, seconded by Commissioner Eley, with Commissioners Lowe, Eley, Scott, Brown and Hewlett voting in favor of the motion at its regular meeting held on <u>Thursday</u>, <u>September 27, 2001</u>, in Upper Marlboro, Maryland.

Adopted by the Prince George's County Planning Board this 25th day of October 2001.

Trudye Morgan Johnson Executive Director

By Frances J. Guertin Planning Board Administrator

TMJ:FJG:JD:rmk

^{*}Denotes amendment Strikeout denotes deletion Underlining denotes addition