

The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission Prince George's County Planning Department Development Review Division 301-952-3530

Note: Staff reports can be accessed at http://mncppc.iqm2.com/Citizens/Default.aspx

Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-04051 Waiver of the Rules of Procedure and Reconsideration Request Cedar Chase, Phase II

REQUEST	STAFF RECOMMENDATION
Waiver of the Rules of Procedure and Reconsideration Request	DISCUSSION

Location: In the southeast quadrant of the intersection of Dangerfield Road and Woodyard Road, at the south end of Cedar Chase Drive.			PROM	
Gross Acreage:	16.40		A CONTROLLER	
Zone:	R-R/M-I-O			
Gross Floor Area:	N/A	And Princip Pulace		
Lots:	21			
Parcels:	1	BEECH ONVES		
Planning Area:	81A	THE STATE OF THE S		
Council District:	09	Planning Board Date:	07/01/2021	
Election District:	09	Planning Board Action Limit:	N/A	
Municipality:	N/A		,	
200-Scale Base Map:	211SE08	Memorandum Date:	06/10/2021	
Applicant/Address: Timberlake Cedar Chase, LLC 304 Harry S. Truman Parkway, Suite M Annapolis, MD 21401 Staff Reviewer: Mridula Gupta Phone Number: 301-952-3504 Email: Mridula.Gupta@ppd.mncppc.org		Date Received:	06/04/2021	
		Previous Parties of Record (Applicant)	06/04/2021	
		Previous Parties of Record (M-NCPPC)	06/15/2021	



14741 Governor Oden Bowie Drive Upper Marlboro, Maryland 20772 www.pgplanning.org

June 10, 2021

MEMORANDUM

TO: The Prince George's County Planning Board

VIA: Sherri Conner, Supervisor, Subdivision Section S

Development Review Division

FROM: Mridula Gupta, Planner Coordinator, Subdivision Section M.G.

Development Review Division

SUBJECT: **Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-04051**

Waiver of the Rules of Procedure and Reconsideration Request

Cedar Chase, Phase II

By letter dated June 4, 2021, Thomas H. Haller, representing Timberlake Cedar Chase, LLC, requested a waiver of the Prince George's County Planning Board's Rules of Procedure (Section 12(a)), which requires that a reconsideration request be submitted no less than 14 calendar days after the date of notice of the final decision (Section 10(a)). In this case, the resolution of approval (PGCPB Resolution No. 04-183) was adopted by the Planning Board on July 29, 2004. If the Planning Board grants the requested waiver, the applicant specifically requests reconsideration of Condition 20(a) of the resolution. Per Section 10(e) of the Rules of Procedure, reconsideration may only be granted if, in furtherance of substantial public interest, the Planning Board finds that an error in reaching the original decision was caused by fraud, surprise, mistake, inadvertence, or other good cause.

Condition 20(a) pertains to requirements for off-site road improvements and reads as follows:

20. Prior to the issuance of any building permits within the subject property, the following road improvements shall have full financial assurances, have been permitted for construction, and have an agreed-upon timetable for construction with SHA and/or DPW&T:

2 4-04051

- a. Lengthen the westbound right turn lane on MD 223 to remove the westbound right turns from the through lane. This also will involve some re-striping along the MD 223 east leg of the intersection.
- b. Re-stripe the northbound turn lanes on Dangerfield Road to provide separate left-turn, through, and right-turn lanes.

The applicant is requesting that Condition 20.a., which requires that certain road improvements be constructed, be reconsidered, and deleted. Condition 20 requires two improvements to the intersection of MD 223 (Woodyard Road) and Old Alexandria Ferry Road/Dangerfield Road. While the improvement required by Condition 20.b. has been completed by others, thus satisfying the condition, the improvement required by Condition 20.a. remains.

As set forth in the applicant's request, there were inadvertent errors made in the traffic analysis conducted at the time of approval of the preliminary plan of subdivision (PPS), which resulted in inflated and inaccurate traffic projections. The transportation improvements required by Condition 20 were based on those traffic projections. Further, the applicant has submitted an analysis from their traffic engineer outlining the factors contributing to the inaccuracy of the traffic information provided at the time of the PPS approval. The analysis provides that two nearby applications (PPS 4-03118 and PPS 4-05027) were recently reconsidered based on the same inaccurate traffic projections. Finally, the applicant concludes that the required improvement is not necessary for adequate transportation findings for the subdivision, and that the requested reconsideration is in furtherance of substantial public interest, and an error in reaching the original decision is good cause to support the reconsideration request.

If the Planning Board grants the applicant's request for a waiver and reconsideration, staff will provide an analysis on the merits of the request at a later Planning Board hearing.

3 4-04051