

1616 McCormick Drive, Largo, MD 20774 • pgplanning.org • Maryland Relay 7-1-1

Note: Staff reports can be accessed at <u>https://www.mncppc.org/883/Watch-Meetings</u>

Detailed Site Plan Walker Mill Business Park, Lots 6 & 7

Email: joshua.mitchum@ppd.mncppc.org

DSP-22040

2/27/2024

		APPROVAL with conditions.	
approximately 650 feet	,		
with Rochene Avenue.			RITISON
Gross Acreage:	3.68	ROCHEL AVE	
Zone:	IE (Industrial, Employment)		
Zone Prior:	I-1 (Light Industrial)		
Reviewed per prior Zoning Ordinance:	Section 24-1703(b)		
Dwelling Units:	0		
Gross Floor Area:	4,900 sq. ft.	Planning Board Date:	3/28/2024
Planning Area:	75B		
Council District:	07	Planning Board Action Limit:	4/2/2024
Municipality:	Capitol Heights	Staff Report Date:	3/14/2024
Applicant/Address: Sagres Land Development LLC 3680 Wheeler Avenue, Suite 300 Alexandria, VA 22304		Date Accepted:	1/23/2024
		Informational Mailing:	1/11/2023
Staff Reviewer: Joshua Mitchum Phone Number: 301-952-4132		Acceptance Mailing:	1/17/2024

The Planning Board encourages all interested persons to request to become a person of record for this application. Requests to become a person of record may be made online at http://www.mncppcapps.org/planning/Person of Record/. Please call 301-952-3530 for additional information.

Sign Posting Deadline:

Table of Contents

EVALU	ATION CRITERIA
FINDIN	IGS
1.	Request
2.	Development Data Summary
3.	Location
4.	Surrounding Uses
5.	Previous Approvals
6.	Design Features
COMPL	IANCE WITH EVALUATION CRITERIA6
7.	Prior Prince George's County Zoning Ordinance
8.	Detailed Site Plan DSP-0002511
9.	Detailed Site Plan DSP-9901811
10.	Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-87194 12
11.	Area Planning Division Memorandum
12.	2010 Prince George's County Landscape Manual14
13.	2010 Prince George's County Woodland and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Ordinance 14
14.	Prince George's County Tree Canopy Coverage Ordinance14
15.	Referral Comments
RECOM	IMENDATION

THE MARYLAND-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARK AND PLANNING COMMISSION

PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY PLANNING BOARD

STAFF REPORT

SUBJECT:Detailed Site Plan DSP-22040Walker Mill Business Park, Lots 6 & 7

The Urban Design staff has reviewed the detailed site plan for the subject property and presents the following evaluation and findings leading to a recommendation of APPROVAL, as described in the Recommendation section of this technical staff report.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

The subject property is within the current Industrial, Employment (IE) Zone. It was previously located within the prior Light Industrial (I-1) Zone. Pursuant to Section 27-1900 of the Zoning Ordinance *et seq.*, for a period of two years, until April 1, 2024, the applicant can submit a detailed site plan (DSP) for property in the IE Zone under the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance in effect prior to April 1, 2022 ("prior Zoning Ordinance"). The applicant has chosen to proceed with the development under the provisions of the prior Zoning Ordinance, as allowed by Section 27-1900 of the current Zoning Ordinance. The applicant's proposed DSP application meets the prerequisites for proceeding under the prior Zoning Ordinance, as outlined in Section 27-1903 and 27-1904. Accordingly, staff has reviewed the subject DSP under the property's former I-1 zoning and the standards and procedures of the prior Zoning Ordinance. The detailed site plan was reviewed and evaluated for conformance with the following criteria:

- a. The prior Prince George's County Zoning Ordinance in the I-1 Zone;
- b. Approved Detailed Site Plan DSP-00025;
- c. Approved Detailed Site Plan DSP-99018;
- d. Approved Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-87194;
- e. The Area Planning Division Memorandum;
- f. The 2010 Prince George's County Landscape Manual;
- g. The Prince George's County Woodland and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Ordinance;
- h. The Prince George's County Tree Canopy Coverage Ordinance; and
- i. Referral comments.

FINDINGS

Based upon the analysis of the subject application, the Urban Design staff recommend the following findings:

1. Request: Retain the existing temporary structures on-site and construct a new 4,900square-foot contractor office building, with both indoor and outdoor storage capabilities, as well as repair and maintenance of equipment.

2. Development Data Summary:

	EXISTING	EVALUATED
Zone(s)	IE (Prior: I-1)	I-1
Use(s)	Miscellaneous Industrial, related uses with indoor and outdoor storage of materials or equipment	Miscellaneous Industrial, related uses: Contractor's office (general) with indoor and outdoor storage of materials or equipment.
Acreage	3.68	3.68
Lots	2	2
Gross Floor Area (Total square footage)	5,310 sq. ft.	9,400 sq. ft. [4,500 sq. ft. (Existing to remain) + Proposed contractor office building: 4,900 sq. ft.]
• Shed 1	330 sq. ft.	0 sq. ft. (Existing to be removed)
• Shed 2	230 sq. ft.	0 sq. ft. (Existing to be removed)
• Shed 3	75 sq. ft.	0 sq. ft. (Existing to be removed)
• Shed 4	175 sq. ft.	0 sq. ft. (Existing to be removed)
• Shed 5	75 sq. ft.	75 sq. ft. (Existing to remain)
• Shed 6	1,485 sq. ft.	1,485 sq. ft. (Existing to remain)
• Shed 7	925 sq. ft.	925 sq. ft. (Existing to remain)
• Shed 8	650 sq. ft.	650 sq. ft. (Existing to remain)
• Shed 9	95 sq. ft.	95 sq. ft. (Existing to remain)
• Trailer 1	1,270 sq. ft.	1,270 sq. ft. (Existing to remain)

Other Development Data

Parking requirements per Section 27-568(a)(6) of the prior Zoning Ordinance.

	REQUIRED	EVALUATED
Parking: Office building	1.0 spaces per 250 sq. ft. of the	1.0 spaces per 250 sq. ft. of
or office building	first 2,000 sq. ft. of GFA + 1.0	the first 2,000 sq. ft. of GFA
complex, 4,900 sq. ft.	spaces per 400 sq. ft. of GFA	+ 1.0 spaces per 400 sq. ft.
	above 2,000 sq. ft.	of GFA above 2,000 sq. ft.

	REQUIRED	EVALUATED
Total Parking Spaces	8 spaces + 8 spaces = 16	25
Standard (19 x 9.5 feet)	16	19
Compact (16.5 x 8 feet)	Up to under 1/3 of total spaces,	4
	if any: 6 max.	
ADA-Compliant	Up to 25 total required parking	2
	spaces: 1 min.	
Loading: Office building	1.0 space per	1
or office building	10,000 - 100,000 sq. ft.: 0	
complex (45 x 12 feet)		
Bicycle Spaces	-	4
Inverted U-shaped	-	2 (each rack
bicycle racks		accommodates 2 bikes)

- **3. Location:** The subject site is located on the west side of Walker Mill Road, approximately 650 feet north of its intersection with Rochelle Avenue. Specifically, the address is 6541 and 6562 Prosperity Court, Capitol Heights, Maryland 20743, in Planning Area 75B and Council District 07.
- 4. **Surrounding Uses:** The subject property is bounded to the north by light industrial uses in the Industrial, Employment (IE) Zone (prior I-1); to the south by light industrial uses in the IE Zone (prior I-1) and Commercial, General and Office (CGO) Zone (prior Commercial Shopping Center, (C-S-C) Zone); to the east by commercial uses in the CGO Zone (prior C-S-C) Zone; and to the west by light industrial uses in the IE Zone (prior I-1).
- **5. Previous Approvals:** The subject property consists of Lots 6 and 7, as shown on Tax Map 73 in Grid D4, recorded in Plat Book 141 (Plat 11).

On January 7, 1988, the Prince George's County Planning Board approved Preliminary Plan of Subdivision (PPS) 4-87194 (PGCPB No. 88-6) on a larger 74.4-acre land area for Walker Mill Business Park.

On October 5, 2000, the Prince George's County Planning Board approved DSP-00025 (PGCPB Resolution No. 00-187) for the development of a contractor's storage yard on Lot 6 of the subject site.

On October 26, 2000, the Planning Board approved DSP-99018 (PGCPB Resolution No. 00 188) for the construction of a 3,672-square-foot trucking facility in Lot 7 Block A.

6. **Design Features:** The subject property consists of several temporary construction structures such as trailers, sheds, and concrete pads. These structures are currently being used for a combination of uses (office and storage). There is also an existing access loop road with a gravel surface, which provides access to the existing parking stalls and storage points.

Architecture

The proposed contractor office building is a two-story, pitched roof 70-foot by 70-foot prefabricated structure, which contains a repair garage, storage facilities, lockers, three offices, a break room, and two bathrooms. The building is comprised of red painted metal material on all façades with off-white colored trim. The doors and garage doors will be white in color.

The proposed building is 34 feet in height on all façades, except for the southern façade, which has a height of 25 feet. The footprint of the proposed building is square, with a slight variation in mass. The primary entrance of the building is proposed to be along Walker Mill Road, and the building will also have three pedestrian entrances along the northern and southern façades.

Signage

There is no signage proposed with the application.

Lighting

A landscape plan is provided that depicts six existing light poles that will remain. Two light poles are in the northern part of the site, covering the proposed parking areas. The four remaining light poles are located on the southern part of the site. The application does not propose any new lighting fixtures for the site. A photometric plan has been conditioned herein to demonstrate adequate illumination.

COMPLIANCE WITH EVALUATION CRITERIA

7. Prior Prince George's County Zoning Ordinance: The subject DSP has been reviewed for compliance with the requirements of the I-1 Zone and the site design guidelines of the prior Prince George's County Zoning Ordinance.

This DSP is in conformance with the requirements of Section 27-274, Section 27-465, Section 27-466.01, Section 27-469, and Section 27-473 of the prior Zoning Ordinance. The conditions relevant to the review of the subject application are listed below in **bold** text. Staff's analysis of conformance to the conditions follows below, in plain text.

Section 27-274 - Design guidelines.

Pursuant to Section 27-283(a), "The Detailed Site Plan shall be designed in accordance with the same guidelines as required for a Conceptual Site Plan (Section 27-274)." The subject DSP complies with Section 27-274 as follows:

- (2) Parking, loading, and circulation.
 - (A) Surface parking lots should be located and designed to provide safe and efficient vehicular and pedestrian circulation within the site, while minimizing the visual impact of cars. Parking spaces should be located to provide convenient access to major destination points on the site...

The proposed development includes surface parking in the rear and side yards of the property. The surface parking is oriented in a way

that allows employees and visitors convenient access to the proposed office building. The circulation pattern encourages free-flow traffic that disincentivizes high speeds through the site. A crosswalk is provided that allows pedestrians safe access to the proposed office building from the proposed curb and gutter beginning at Prosperity Court.

(C) Vehicular and pedestrian circulation on a site should be safe, efficient, and convenient for both pedestrians and drivers...

A crosswalk is provided that allows pedestrians safe access to the proposed office building from the proposed curb and gutter, beginning at Prosperity Court. Furthermore, the circulation pattern encourages free-flow traffic that disincentivizes high speeds through the site.

(3) Lighting.

(A) For uses permitting nighttime activities, adequate illumination should be provided. Light fixtures should enhance the design character.

While no nighttime activities are proposed, the applicant is proposing to use the existing six light poles to properly illuminate the site. This is discussed further in Finding 6.

(4) Views.

(A) Site design techniques should be used to preserve, create, or emphasize scenic views from public areas.

The subject DSP ensures that the view of the site is harmonious with its surroundings and not disruptive towards other scenic views. The proposed office building will be centrally located on the subject site and will not cast any unnatural shadows on neighboring properties.

(5) Green area.

(A) On-site green area should be designed to complement other site activity areas and should be appropriate in size, shape, location, and design to fulfill its intended use...

The subject DSP proposes to preserve the existing green space to the northeast along the boundary line of Lot 7, extending onto Lot 6. The DSP is designed in a way that minimizes the removal of green space while still achieving the intended use of the proposed office building.

- (7) Grading.
 - (A) Grading should be performed to minimize disruption to existing topography and other natural and cultural resources on the site and on adjacent sites. To the extent practicable, grading should minimize environmental impacts...

The subject DSP proposes a minor grading of the site, which is reflected in the submitted stormwater management and grading plan. There is no proposed extensive grading of hilltops or slopes.

(10) Architecture.

(A) When architectural considerations are referenced for review, the Conceptual Site Plan should include a statement as to how the architecture of the buildings will provide a variety of building forms, with a unified, harmonious use of materials and styles.

(B) The guidelines shall only be used in keeping with the character and purpose of the proposed type of development and the specific zone in which it is to be located.

As stated in Finding 6, the proposed contractor office building is a two-story, pitched roof, 70-foot by 70-foot prefabricated structure. The building is comprised of red painted metal material on all façades with off-white colored trim. The doors and garage doors will be white in color.

The above materials are consistent with neighboring buildings, which also serve light industrial uses.

Section 27-465 – Fences and walls.

- (a) Unless otherwise provided, fences and walls (including retaining walls) more than six (6) feet high shall not be located in any required yard, and shall meet the setback requirements for main buildings. (See Figure 42.)
- (b) Walls and fences more than four (4) feet high (above the finished grade, measured from the top of the fence to grade on the side of the fence where the grade is the lowest) shall be considered structures requiring building permits.
- (c) Except for land used for installation and operation of high-voltage equipment at substations for electrical generation, transmission, and distribution in connection with providing public utility service in the County by a regulated public utility, barbed wire shall be prohibited in the U-L-I Zone where visible from any street with a right-of-way width of at least eighty (80) feet, or land in a residential zone (or land proposed to be used for residential purposes on an approved Basic

Plan for a Comprehensive Design Zone, any approved Conceptual or Detailed Site Plan, or M-U-TC Zone Development Plan).

- (d) Except for fences less than four (4) feet in heigh, fences not requiring a permit, and fences on land assessed as agricultural uses, all structural support (vertical posts and horizontal rails) shall face the interior or the subject lot. (See Figure 42.1)
- (e) Electric security fences more than six (6) feet high, but no more than ten (10) feet high, may be located in any required yard and shall not be required to meet the setback requirements for main buildings set forth in (a) above, if the electric security fence is located on the interior side of a non-electrical fence that is at least six (6) feet high. Any fence erected on a corner lot shall satisfy the provisions of Section 27-466. A voltage and shock hazard sign shall be attached to the electric security fence at intervals along the fence not exceeding thirty (30) feet. Any electric security fence exceeding twelve (12) volts shall require a variance from the Chief Electrical inspector or designee pursuant to Subtitle 9. Notwithstanding the above, an electrical security fence more than six (6) feet high, but not more than ten (10) feet high shall meet the setback requirement along any lot line shared with a property that is residentially or commercially zoned unless a variance is approved by the Board of Appeals.

There is an existing wooden fence that surrounds the property. A condition has been added herein that requests that the height, material, and width of the fence's gate be added to the site plan, prior to certification. The subject application proposes a 4-foot-tall retaining wall in the southern part of the site. Therefore, this wall is in compliance, since no more than 6-foot-high wall/fence is allowed. The retaining wall is primarily in Lot 7, but also encroaches into Lot 6 as well.

Section 27-466.01 – Frontage.

Each lot shall have frontage on, and direct vehicular access to, a public street, except lots for which private streets or other access rights-of-way have been authorized pursuant to Subtitle 24 of this Code.

The subject site has frontage along Prosperity Court (a cul-de-sac). The frontage has direct vehicular access to a private street. Therefore, this DSP complies with the above section.

Section 27-469. - I-1 Zone (Light Industrial).

The subject application complies with the applicable requirements of Section 27-469 (I-1 Zone) of the prior Zoning Ordinance, which governs development in industrial zones. Requirements relevant to the subject application are analyzed below.

(b) Landscaping, screening, and buffering of development in the I-1 Zone shall be provided in accordance with the provisions of the Landscape Manual. In addition, the following applies:

- (1) At least ten percent (10%) of the net lot area shall be maintained as green area.
- (2) Any landscape strip adjacent to a public right-of-way required pursuant to the provisions of the Landscape Manual shall not be considered part of the required green area.
- (3) A vehicle towing station permitted in the I-1 Zone shall be screened by a wall or fence at least six (6) feet high, or by an evergreen screen, unless the adjoining property is used for a vehicle towing station or a vehicle salvage yard.

Development in the I-1 Zone must have a minimum of 10 percent of the net lot area maintained as green space. The subject application provides for approximately 16,200 square feet of green space, exceeding the minimum requirement of 16,044 square feet.

(c) Outdoor Storage.

(1) Outdoor storage shall not be visible from a street.

Outside storage on the subject site is not visible from a street, due to the existing opaque fencing around the property.

(d) Uses.

(1) The uses allowed in the I-1 Zone are as provided for in the Table of Uses (Division 3 of this Part).

The proposed contractor's office building is a permitted use by right in the I-1 Zone.

Section 27-473 – Uses permitted.

(a) No use shall be allowed in the Industrial Zone, except as provided for in the Table of Uses or in Subsection (c) of this Section.

The proposed use, a contractor's office, construction yard, shed, or storage building, is a permitted use by right in the I-1 Zone as indicated by the Table of Uses in this section.

Section 27-474. Regulations

(a) **Regulations tables**

(1) The following tables contain additional regulations for development in the Industrial Zones.

(b) TABLE 1 – SETBACKS (Minimum in Feet)

USE	I-1 ZONE	EVALUATED (Proposed contractor office building)
From Street	25	80
Side Yard	31 1/3 feet	315 feet (total) consisting of 120 (Left side yard) and195 (Right side yard)
Rear Yard	1-1/3 feet	70

Existing Sheds and Trailer

The applicant is proposing to remove Sheds 1–4 and retain Sheds 5–9 and Trailer 1. Therefore, a condition has been added herein that the remaining structures shall either be moved or removed, if applicable, in order to be in conformance with Section 27-474(a)(b) prior to certification.

- 8. **Detailed Site Plan DSP-00025:** DSP-00025 was approved by the Planning Board on October 5, 2000, subject to one condition with three sub-conditions. The condition relevant to the review of the subject application is listed below in **bold** text. Staff's analysis of conformance to the condition follows below, in plain text:
 - (1) Prior to certificate approval, the following revisions shall be made to the Detailed Site Plan, or the specified information shall be supplied:
 - (a) Provide a continuous six-foot-high, sight-tight wood fence with a sliding gate (solid wood fence in accordance with details shown on Attachment "A") along property lines which have frontage on any public dedicated right-of-way within the subdivision. Metal security fencing, including chain-link, may be located behind and adjacent to the required wood fence if it is not visible from the street.

The site has maintained a continuous 6-foot-high, sight-tight wood fence with a sliding gate along the property lines that share a frontage with public dedicated rights-of-way. The application has not proposed any modifications to the existing fence.

- **9. Detailed Site Plan DSP-99018:** DSP-99018 was approved by the Planning Board on October 26, 2000 (PGCPB Resolution No. 00-188), subject to one condition with eight sub-conditions. The conditions relevant to the review of the subject application are listed below in **bold** text. Staff's analysis of conformance to the conditions follows below, in plain text.
 - 1. Prior to certificate approval, the following modifications or revisions shall be made to the Detailed Site Plan, or the following information shall be provided:
 - f. Provide a continuous six-foot-high, sight-tight wood fence with a sliding gate (solid wood fence in accordance with details shown on Attachment "A") along property lines which have frontage on any public dedicated right-of-way within the subdivision. Metal security

fencing, including chain-link, may be located behind and adjacent to the required wood fence if it is not visible from the street.

The site has maintained a continuous 6-foot-high, sight-tight wood fence with a sliding gate along the property lines that share a frontage with public dedicated rights-of-way. The application has not proposed any modifications to the existing fence.

- **10. Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-87194:** PPS 4-87194 was approved by the Planning Board on January 7, 1988 (PGCPB Resolution No. 88-6), subject to 10 conditions. The conditions relevant to the review of the subject application are listed below in **bold** text. Staff's analysis of conformance to the conditions follows below, in plain text.
 - 3. Detailed site plans for individual lots shall be approved by the Planning Board prior to building permits. These site plan reviews shall address, but not be limited to, the items listed in the Area Planning Division's (N/SE) memorandum dated September 16, 1987.

This condition also appears as Plat Note 1 in Plat Book NLP 141, plat 11, which was recorded on September 16, 1988, and includes Lots 6 and 7. This DSP application is being requested for Lots 6 and 7, in conformance with this condition.

Further analysis of the Area Planning Division's memorandum can be found below in Finding 11.

- 5. Provision of a third exclusive lane on the eastbound leg of Maryland Route 458 (Silver Hill Road) at its intersection with Maryland Route 4 in accordance with State standards and shall provide for signal modification if deemed necessary.
- 6. Provision of a 300-foot dual left-turn lane on the northbound leg of Maryland Route 4 at its intersection with Maryland Route 458 (Silver Hill Road) in accordance with State standards prior to building permit to include minor modifications of existing traffic signals if deemed necessary.
- 7. Provision of an exclusive right-turn lane in accordance with the Department of Public Works and Transportation standards on Walker Mill Road at access roads to the site, County Road and Rochelle Avenue, prior to building permit.
- 8. Provision of an exclusive right-turn lane and a shared through and left-turning lane on the north leg of County Road and Rochelle Avenue at their approach to Walker Mill Road prior to building permit.
- 9. Provision of a new traffic signal at the intersection of Walker Mill Road with County Road, when deemed necessary by the Department of Public Works and Transportation prior to the issuance of any building permits.

The above conditions (5 through 9) are related to transportation facilities and also appear as Plat Note 2(a) through (e), which are required to be provided prior to building permits, as noted in Plat Book NLP 141, plat 11. The Transportation Planning Section has noted that the above improvements have been made, with the

exception of condition 9. The intersection of Walker Mill Road and County Road is still unsignalized, but the Transportation Planning Section notes that the Prince George's County Department of Public Works and Transportation (DPW&T) must deem whether a signal is warranted at this time. Therefore, a condition has been included herein.

11. Area Planning Division Memorandum: In a memorandum dated September 16, 1987 (Lord to Land Development Division), a series of legal requirements for site development were noted for PPS 4-87194. The conditions relevant to the review of the subject application are listed below in **bold** text. Staff's analysis of conformance to the conditions follows below, in plain text.

(a) All projects within this property shall be subject to site plan review by the Prince George's County Planning Board. The site plan shall contain a landscaping plan.

The proposed contractor office building is subject to review by the Planning Board, and the project's DSP has included a landscaping plan, thereby satisfying this requirement.

- (b) The Planning Board shall review the development to assure its compliance with the following design guidelines:
 - (1) An effective visual buffer created by substantial berms and landscaping shall be provided along Walker Mill Road, Rollins Avenue, and Addison Road and along abutting areas which are planned or developed for residential purposes in order to maintain the residential character of surrounding properties.
 - (2) The internal organization of the site shall address the following:
 - (A) Minimizing the views of parking, loading, storage, and service areas.
 - (B) Providing architectural elevations consistent in materials and treatment on all sides, and with all mechanical equipment closed or screened. Screening and enclosures shall be treated as integral elements of building design.

The proposed prefabricated office building will adequately screen mechanical equipment used in the operation of the proposed repair garage. The proposed metal material for the building is consistent on all façades.

The application has demonstrated adequate buffering and screening through the prior approval DSP-99108. The subject application has satisfied screening requirements by maintaining the wooden fence surrounding the property.

(C) Signs shall not be placed above the roof or parapet line. No moving or flashing signs, or signs projecting significantly from a building, shall be permitted. Low ground-mounted and

landscaped signs in keeping with the scale of the buildings and site shall be encouraged in lieu of building-mounted signs.

The subject application does not propose any signage.

- **12. 2010 Prince George's County Landscape Manual:** The application is subject to the requirements of the 2010 *Prince George's County Landscape Manual* for Section 4.2, Landscape Strip Along Streets, and Section 4.9, Sustainable Landscaping Requirements. The required schedules have been provided, demonstrating conformance to the requirements.
- **13. 2010 Prince George's County Woodland and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Ordinance:** The site has an approved Natural Resources Inventory Equivalency Letter (NRI-217-2026-01), which is valid until March 4, 2026, as well as an approved standard letter of exemption from the Woodland Conservation Ordinance (S-073-2023), which is valid until April 28, 2025.
- 14. **Prince George's County Tree Canopy Coverage Ordinance:** Subtitle 25, Division 3 of the Tree Canopy Coverage Ordinance, requires a minimum percentage of tree canopy coverage (TCC) on projects that require a grading permit for more than 5,000 square feet of disturbance. The requirement for the I-1 Zone is 10 percent, which is 0.24 acre or 10,411 square feet for this property. A TCC schedule has been included with the landscape plan, which shows that 10,588 square feet of TCC will be provided exceeding the minimum requirement.
- **15. Referral Comments:** The subject application was referred to the concerned agencies and divisions. The referral comments are summarized, as follows:
 - a. **Historic Preservation**—In a memorandum dated February 14, 2024 (Smith, Chisholm, and Stabler to Mitchum), the Historic Preservation Section noted that a search of current and historical photographs, topographic and historic maps, and locations of currently known archeological sites indicates that the probability of archeological sites within the subject site is low. A Phase I archeology survey is not recommended. Lastly, the subject site does not contain, and is not adjacent to, any Prince George's County historic sites or resources.
 - b. **Community Planning**—In a memorandum dated February 22, 2024 (Skibinski to Mitchum), the Community Planning Section noted that no major issues were identified with the application. The proposed use of a contractor office building is not consistent with the 2010 *Approved Subregion 4 Master Plan and Sectional Map Amendment* recommended land use (mixed-use residential); however, master plan conformance is not required for the subject site pursuant to Part 3, Division 9, Subdivision 3 of the prior Zoning Ordinance.
 - c. **Transportation Planning**—In a memorandum dated February 23, 2024 (Smith to Mitchum), the Transportation Planning Section offered the following comments:

Master Plan Right of Way

The site is subject to the 2009 *Approved Countywide Master Plan of Transportation* (MPOT) and the 2010 *Approved Subregion 4 Master Plan and Sectional Map Amendment*. The subject property has frontage along Prosperity Court, a cul-de-sac,

with no master plan right-of-way designation. There is no additional right-of-way required.

Although no new road frontages are proposed, the site has an existing sidewalk along its frontage of Prosperity Court. The site plan also includes a 5-foot-wide sidewalk and marked crosswalks on-site to facilitate a direct connection from Prosperity Court to the building entrance. The site plan also includes two inverted U-style bicycle racks near the building entrance, to accommodate multi-modal use to the subject property. Staff find that the proposed facilities and amenities meet the intent of the master plan.

- d. **Subdivision Review**—In a memorandum dated February 26, 2024 (Bartlett to Mitchum), the Subdivision Review Section offered a comment related to the general notes, which is conditioned is herein.
- e. **Environmental Planning**—In a memorandum dated February 16, 2024 (Kirchhof to Mitchum), the Environmental Planning Section offered the following comments:

"No regulated environmental features are located on site, and the site was previously improved and graded."

Stormwater Management

A Stormwater Management (SWM) Concept Approval Plan (35163-2021-00) and associated letter (21640-2020-00) were submitted for this site. The approval letter was issued by the Prince George's County Department of Permitting, Inspections and Enforcement (DPIE) on March 26, 2021, and is valid until March 26, 2024. The approved plan indicates that stormwater requirements will be processed by micro-bioretention.

The Environmental Planning Section recommends approval of DSP-22040.

- f. **Permit Review**—In a memorandum dated February 26, 2024 (Jacobs to Mitchum), the Permit Review Section offered the following comments, and conditions are included herein:
 - "2. There is an existing fence shown on the site plan sheets. Fence and gates should be labeled with details of height, materials, and width (gate) on the site plan if they are remaining.
 - "3. Street connection width needs to be clear and added to the site plan."
- g. **Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA)**—At the time of the writing of this technical staff report, the Maryland State Highway Administration did not offer comments on this application.
- h. **Prince George's County Department of Permitting, Inspections and Enforcement (DPIE)**—In a memorandum dated January 26, 2024 (Branch to Mitchum), DPIE offered comments pertaining to sewer and water services for the subject property. No further comments or objections were noted.

- i. **Prince George's County Health Department**—At the time of the writing of this technical staff report, the Health Department did not offer comments on this application.
- j. **The Prince George's County Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR)**—In an email dated February 2, 2024 (Holley to Mitchum), DPR stated that it has no comments to offer for this application as it is not adjacent to any Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission-owned property and is not a residential use.
- k. **Prince George's County Police Department**—At the time of the writing of this technical staff report, the Police Department did not offer comments on this application.
- l. **Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission (WSSC)**—At the time of writing this technical staff report, WSSC did not offer comments on this application.
- m. **Prince George's County Fire/EMS Department**—At the time of the writing of this technical staff report, the Fire/EMS Department did not offer comments on this application.
- n. **Community Feedback**—At the time of the writing of this technical staff report, staff had not received community feedback or input regarding this application.
- **16**. As required by Section 27-285(b), the DSP represents a reasonable alternative for satisfying the site design guidelines of Subtitle 27, Part 3, Division 9, of the Prince George's County Code without requiring unreasonable cost and without detracting substantially from the utility of the proposed development for its intended use.
- **17.** As required by Section 27-285(b)(4) of the prior Zoning Ordinance, for approval of a DSP, the regulated environmental features on-site have been preserved and/or restored in a natural state, to the fullest extent possible, in accordance with the requirements of Section 24-130(b)(5) of the Prince George's County Subdivision Regulations.

No regulated environmental features are located on the subject site, and the site was previously graded and improved.

RECOMMENDATION

Based upon the foregoing evaluation and analysis, the Urban Design staff recommends that the Prince George's County Planning Board adopt the findings of this report and APPROVE Detailed Site Plan DSP-22040 Walker Mill Business Park Lots 6 & 7, subject to the following condition:

- 1. Prior to certification, the applicant shall revise the detailed site plan (DSP), as follows, or provide the specified documentation:
 - a. Include a general note listing Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-87194, DSP-00025, and DSP-99018 as prior approvals, applicable to the subject property.

- b. Include approval sheets with both resolutions of Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-87194, DSP-00025, and DSP-99018 as a prior approval applicable to the subject property, and a blank approval sheet for the subject application.
- c. Include an approval sheet with a completed Certificate of Landscape Maintenance for the landscaping plants on Lot 7.
- d. Label fences and gates with details of height, materials, and width (for the gate) if they are to remain.
- e. Label curb cut width dimension for street connection.
- f. Include a photometric plan which demonstrates that the property will be adequately illuminated.
- g. Label existing structures with numbers, and indicate which structures are to remain or be removed including square footage information.
- h. Include setbacks of the existing structures to remain, to ensure conformance to the setback regulations found in Section 27-474(b) of the prior Prince George's County Zoning Ordinance.
- Demonstrate satisfaction of Conditions 5–9 from Preliminary Plan of Subdivision 4-87194 and obtain approval from the Prince George's County Planning Department's transportation staff.